

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Bies	1	Algoma	627	\$9,400	\$5,893,800	\$6,144,600.00	\$6,320,473.50	\$5,580,300.00	\$5,580,300.00	(\$564,300.00)	(\$740,173.50)	(\$313,500.00)	(\$313,500.00)
Bies	1	Gibraltar Area	594	\$11,390	\$6,765,931	\$6,929,281.00	\$7,095,898.00	\$6,393,804.80	\$6,393,804.80	(\$535,476.21)	(\$702,093.21)	(\$372,126.21)	(\$372,126.21)
Bies	1	Green Bay Area	20,512	\$9,712	\$199,215,111	\$204,855,911.00	\$210,609,527.00	\$188,258,279.90	\$188,258,279.90	(\$16,597,631.11)	(\$22,351,247.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)
Bies	1	Kewaunee	1,007	\$9,456	\$9,522,293	\$9,868,600.00	\$10,151,063.50	\$8,998,566.89	\$8,998,566.89	(\$870,033.12)	(\$1,152,496.62)	(\$523,726.12)	(\$523,726.12)
Bies	1	Luxemburg-Casco	1,921	\$9,395	\$18,048,000	\$18,825,800.00	\$19,364,640.50	\$17,096,900.00	\$17,096,900.00	(\$1,728,900.00)	(\$2,267,740.50)	(\$951,100.00)	(\$951,100.00)
Bies	1	Sevastopol	586	\$11,033	\$6,465,621	\$6,626,771.00	\$6,791,144.00	\$6,110,011.85	\$6,110,011.85	(\$516,759.15)	(\$681,132.15)	(\$355,609.15)	(\$355,609.15)
Bies	1	Southern Door	1,171	\$9,562	\$11,196,562	\$11,518,587.00	\$11,847,052.50	\$10,580,751.09	\$10,580,751.09	(\$937,835.91)	(\$1,266,301.41)	(\$615,810.91)	(\$615,810.91)
Bies	1	Sturgeon Bay	1,148	\$9,467	\$10,867,597	\$11,250,400.00	\$11,572,414.00	\$10,269,879.17	\$10,269,879.17	(\$980,520.84)	(\$1,302,534.84)	(\$597,717.84)	(\$597,717.84)
Bies	1	Washington	73	\$10,858	\$792,661	\$812,736.00	\$833,212.50	\$749,064.65	\$749,064.65	(\$63,671.35)	(\$84,147.86)	(\$43,596.35)	(\$43,596.35)
Bies Total										(\$22,795,127.68)	(\$30,547,867.18)	(\$14,730,017.68)	(\$14,730,017.68)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Jacque	2	DePere	1,443	\$9,401	\$13,566,050	\$14,141,400.00	\$14,546,161.50	\$12,842,700.00	\$12,842,700.00	(\$1,298,700.00)	(\$1,703,461.50)	(\$723,350.00)	(\$723,350.00)
Jacque	2	Denmark	3,754	\$9,823	\$36,874,330	\$37,906,680.00	\$38,959,677.00	\$34,846,241.85	\$34,846,241.85	(\$3,060,438.15)	(\$4,113,435.15)	(\$2,028,088.15)	(\$2,028,088.15)
Jacque	2	Green Bay Area	20,512	\$9,712	\$199,215,111	\$204,855,911.00	\$210,609,527.00	\$188,258,279.90	\$188,258,279.90	(\$16,597,631.11)	(\$22,351,247.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)
Jacque	2	Mishicot	933	\$9,400	\$8,770,200	\$9,143,400.00	\$9,405,106.50	\$8,303,700.00	\$8,303,700.00	(\$839,700.00)	(\$1,101,406.50)	(\$466,500.00)	(\$466,500.00)
Jacque	2	Reedsville	675	\$10,302	\$6,953,980	\$7,139,605.00	\$7,328,942.50	\$6,571,511.10	\$6,571,511.10	(\$568,093.90)	(\$757,431.40)	(\$382,468.90)	(\$382,468.90)
Jacque	2	Two Rivers	1,816	\$9,390	\$17,051,600	\$17,796,800.00	\$18,306,188.00	\$16,162,400.00	\$16,162,400.00	(\$1,634,400.00)	(\$2,143,788.00)	(\$889,200.00)	(\$889,200.00)
Jacque	2	Wrightstown Community	1,264	\$9,590	\$12,121,356	\$12,468,956.00	\$12,823,508.00	\$11,454,681.42	\$11,454,681.42	(\$1,014,274.58)	(\$1,368,826.58)	(\$666,674.58)	(\$666,674.58)
Jacque Total										(\$25,013,237.74)	(\$33,539,596.24)	(\$16,113,112.74)	(\$16,113,112.74)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Ott	3	Appleton Area	14,217	\$9,854	\$140,095,344	\$144,005,019.00	\$147,992,887.50	\$132,390,100.08	\$132,390,100.08	(\$11,614,918.92)	(\$15,602,787.42)	(\$7,705,243.92)	(\$7,705,243.92)
Ott	3	Brillion	891	\$9,393	\$8,368,807	\$8,731,800.00	\$8,981,725.50	\$7,929,900.00	\$7,929,900.00	(\$801,900.00)	(\$1,051,825.50)	(\$438,907.00)	(\$438,907.00)
Ott	3	Chilton	1,133	\$9,364	\$10,608,904	\$11,103,400.00	\$11,421,206.50	\$10,083,700.00	\$10,083,700.00	(\$1,019,700.00)	(\$1,337,506.50)	(\$525,204.00)	(\$525,204.00)
Ott	3	Kimberly Area	4,215	\$9,710	\$40,928,489	\$42,087,614.00	\$43,269,921.50	\$38,677,422.11	\$38,677,422.11	(\$3,410,191.90)	(\$4,592,499.40)	(\$2,251,066.90)	(\$2,251,066.90)
Ott	3	Little Chute Area	1,438	\$9,428	\$13,557,708	\$14,092,400.00	\$14,495,759.00	\$12,812,034.06	\$12,812,034.06	(\$1,280,365.94)	(\$1,683,724.94)	(\$745,673.94)	(\$745,673.94)
Ott	3	Stockbridge	243	\$10,079	\$2,449,131	\$2,515,956.00	\$2,584,117.50	\$2,314,428.80	\$2,314,428.80	(\$201,527.21)	(\$269,688.71)	(\$134,702.21)	(\$134,702.21)
Ott Total										(\$18,328,603.96)	(\$24,538,032.46)	(\$11,800,797.96)	(\$11,800,797.96)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Weininger	4	Ashwaubenon	2,590	\$9,931	\$25,720,640	\$26,432,890.00	\$27,159,385.00	\$24,306,004.80	\$24,306,004.80	(\$2,126,885.20)	(\$2,853,380.20)	(\$1,414,635.20)	(\$1,414,635.20)
Weininger	4	DePere	3,754	\$9,823	\$36,874,330	\$37,906,680.00	\$38,959,677.00	\$34,846,241.85	\$34,846,241.85	(\$3,060,438.15)	(\$4,113,435.15)	(\$2,028,088.15)	(\$2,028,088.15)
Weininger	4	Green Bay Area	20,512	\$9,712	\$199,215,111	\$204,855,911.00	\$210,609,527.00	\$188,258,279.90	\$188,258,279.90	(\$16,597,631.11)	(\$22,351,247.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)
Weininger	4	West DePere	2,810	\$10,356	\$29,099,476	\$29,872,226.00	\$30,660,431.00	\$27,499,004.82	\$27,499,004.82	(\$2,373,221.18)	(\$3,161,426.18)	(\$1,600,471.18)	(\$1,600,471.18)
Weininger Total										(\$24,158,175.64)	(\$32,479,488.64)	(\$16,000,025.64)	(\$16,000,025.64)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Steineke	5	Freedom Area	1,616	\$9,441	\$15,256,372	\$15,836,800.00	\$16,290,088.00	\$14,417,271.54	\$14,417,271.54	(\$1,419,528.46)	(\$1,872,816.46)	(\$839,100.46)	(\$839,100.46)
Steineke	5	Green Bay Area	20,512	\$9,712	\$199,215,111	\$204,855,911.00	\$210,609,527.00	\$188,258,279.90	\$188,258,279.90	(\$16,597,631.11)	(\$22,351,247.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)
Steineke	5	Kaukauna Area	4,178	\$9,595	\$40,087,672	\$41,236,622.00	\$42,408,551.00	\$37,882,850.04	\$37,882,850.04	(\$3,353,771.96)	(\$4,525,700.96)	(\$2,204,821.96)	(\$2,204,821.96)
Steineke	5	Little Chute Area	1,438	\$9,428	\$13,557,708	\$14,092,400.00	\$14,495,759.00	\$12,812,034.06	\$12,812,034.06	(\$1,280,365.94)	(\$1,683,724.94)	(\$745,673.94)	(\$745,673.94)
Steineke	5	Pulaski Community	3,746	\$9,683	\$36,271,843	\$37,301,993.00	\$38,352,746.00	\$34,276,891.64	\$34,276,891.64	(\$3,025,101.37)	(\$4,075,854.37)	(\$1,994,951.37)	(\$1,994,951.37)
Steineke	5	Seymour Community	2,488	\$9,597	\$23,877,208	\$24,561,408.00	\$25,259,292.00	\$22,563,961.56	\$22,563,961.56	(\$1,997,446.44)	(\$2,695,330.44)	(\$1,313,246.44)	(\$1,313,246.44)
Steineke Total										(\$27,673,845.27)	(\$37,204,674.27)	(\$18,054,625.27)	(\$18,054,625.27)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Tauchen	6	Bonduel	896	\$9,379	\$8,403,600	\$8,780,800.00	\$9,032,128.00	\$7,974,400.00	\$7,974,400.00	(\$806,400.00)	(\$1,057,728.00)	(\$429,200.00)	(\$429,200.00)
Tauchen	6	Bowler	432	\$10,350	\$4,471,130	\$4,589,930.00	\$4,711,106.00	\$4,225,217.85	\$4,225,217.85	(\$364,712.15)	(\$485,888.15)	(\$245,912.15)	(\$245,912.15)
Tauchen	6	Gillett	711	\$9,425	\$6,701,037	\$6,967,800.00	\$7,167,235.50	\$6,332,479.97	\$6,332,479.97	(\$635,320.04)	(\$834,755.54)	(\$368,557.04)	(\$368,557.04)
Tauchen	6	Gresham	298	\$10,115	\$3,014,295	\$3,096,245.00	\$3,179,834.00	\$2,848,508.78	\$2,848,508.78	(\$247,736.23)	(\$331,325.23)	(\$165,786.23)	(\$165,786.23)
Tauchen	6	Oconto Falls	1,841	\$9,528	\$17,541,760	\$18,048,035.00	\$18,564,435.50	\$16,576,963.20	\$16,576,963.20	(\$1,471,071.80)	(\$1,987,472.30)	(\$964,796.80)	(\$964,796.80)
Tauchen	6	Pulaski Community	3,746	\$9,683	\$36,271,843	\$37,301,993.00	\$38,352,746.00	\$34,276,891.64	\$34,276,891.64	(\$3,025,101.37)	(\$4,075,854.37)	(\$1,994,951.37)	(\$1,994,951.37)
Tauchen	6	Shawano	2,460	\$9,462	\$23,276,053	\$24,108,000.00	\$24,798,030.00	\$21,995,870.09	\$21,995,870.09	(\$2,112,129.92)	(\$2,802,159.92)	(\$1,280,182.92)	(\$1,280,182.92)
Tauchen	6	Shiocton	773	\$9,624	\$7,439,445	\$7,652,020.00	\$7,868,846.50	\$7,030,275.53	\$7,030,275.53	(\$621,744.48)	(\$838,570.98)	(\$409,169.48)	(\$409,169.48)
Tauchen	6	Suring	488	\$9,913	\$4,837,739	\$4,971,939.00	\$5,108,823.00	\$4,571,663.36	\$4,571,663.36	(\$400,275.65)	(\$537,159.65)	(\$266,075.65)	(\$266,075.65)
Tauchen Total										(\$9,684,491.61)	(\$12,950,914.11)	(\$6,124,631.61)	(\$6,124,631.61)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Krusick	7	Greenfield	2,922	\$10,550	\$30,826,332	\$31,629,882.00	\$32,449,503.00	\$29,130,883.74	\$29,130,883.74	(\$2,498,998.26)	(\$3,318,619.26)	(\$1,695,448.26)	(\$1,695,448.26)
Krusick	7	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Krusick Total										(\$73,486,194.54)	(\$98,275,943.04)	(\$49,182,519.54)	(\$49,182,519.54)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Zamarrippa	8	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Zamarrippa Total										(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Zepnick	9	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Zepnick Total										(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Coggs	10	Glendale-River Hills	898	\$12,347	\$11,087,241	\$11,334,191.00	\$11,586,080.00	\$10,477,442.75	\$10,477,442.75	(\$856,748.26)	(\$1,108,637.26)	(\$609,798.26)	(\$609,798.26)
Coggs	10	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Coggs Total										(\$71,843,944.54)	(\$96,065,961.04)	(\$48,096,869.54)	(\$48,096,869.54)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Fields	11	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Fields Total										(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Kessler	12	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Kessler	12	Wauwatosa	5,942	\$10,628	\$63,150,594	\$64,784,644.00	\$66,451,375.00	\$59,677,311.33	\$59,677,311.33	(\$5,107,332.67)	(\$6,774,063.67)	(\$3,473,282.67)	(\$3,473,282.67)
Kessler Total										(\$76,094,528.95)	(\$101,731,387.45)	(\$50,960,353.95)	(\$50,960,353.95)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Cullen	13	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Cullen	13	Wauwatosa	5,942	\$10,628	\$63,150,594	\$64,784,644.00	\$66,451,375.00	\$59,677,311.33	\$59,677,311.33	(\$5,107,332.67)	(\$6,774,063.67)	(\$3,473,282.67)	(\$3,473,282.67)
Cullen	13	West Allis	8,103	\$10,170	\$82,409,096	\$84,637,421.00	\$86,910,312.50	\$77,876,595.72	\$77,876,595.72	(\$6,760,825.28)	(\$9,033,716.78)	(\$4,532,500.28)	(\$4,532,500.28)
Cullen Total										(\$82,855,354.23)	(\$110,765,104.23)	(\$55,492,854.23)	(\$55,492,854.23)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Kooyenga	14	Elmbrook	6,538	\$11,764	\$76,910,996	\$78,708,946.00	\$80,542,855.00	\$72,680,891.22	\$72,680,891.22	(\$6,028,054.78)	(\$7,861,963.78)	(\$4,230,104.78)	(\$4,230,104.78)
Kooyenga	14	Hamilton	4,388	\$10,729	\$47,077,193	\$48,283,893.00	\$49,514,727.00	\$44,487,947.39	\$44,487,947.39	(\$3,795,945.61)	(\$5,026,779.61)	(\$2,589,245.61)	(\$2,589,245.61)
Kooyenga	14	Wauwatosa	5,942	\$10,628	\$63,150,594	\$64,784,644.00	\$66,451,375.00	\$59,677,311.33	\$59,677,311.33	(\$5,107,332.67)	(\$6,774,063.67)	(\$3,473,282.67)	(\$3,473,282.67)
Kooyenga	14	West Allis	8,103	\$10,170	\$82,409,096	\$84,637,421.00	\$86,910,312.50	\$77,876,595.72	\$77,876,595.72	(\$6,760,825.28)	(\$9,033,716.78)	(\$4,532,500.28)	(\$4,532,500.28)
Kooyenga Total										(\$21,692,158.35)	(\$28,696,523.85)	(\$14,825,133.35)	(\$14,825,133.35)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Staskunas	15	West Allis	8,103	\$10,170	\$82,409,096	\$84,637,421.00	\$86,910,312.50	\$77,876,595.72	\$77,876,595.72	(\$6,760,825.28)	(\$9,033,716.78)	(\$4,532,500.28)	(\$4,532,500.28)
Staskunas Total										(\$6,760,825.28)	(\$9,033,716.78)	(\$4,532,500.28)	(\$4,532,500.28)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Young	16	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Young Total										(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Toles	17	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Toles Total										(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Grigsby	18	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Grigsby Total										(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Richards	19	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Richards Total										(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Sinicki	20	Cudahy	2,518	\$10,787	\$27,161,304	\$27,853,754.00	\$28,560,053.00	\$25,667,432.28	\$25,667,432.28	(\$2,186,321.72)	(\$2,892,620.72)	(\$1,493,871.72)	(\$1,493,871.72)
Sinicki	20	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Sinicki	20	Saint Francis	910	\$10,461	\$9,519,255	\$9,769,505.00	\$10,024,760.00	\$8,995,695.98	\$8,995,695.98	(\$773,809.02)	(\$1,029,064.03)	(\$523,559.02)	(\$523,559.02)
Sinicki Total										(\$73,947,327.03)	(\$98,879,008.53)	(\$49,504,502.03)	(\$49,504,502.03)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Honadel	21	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Honadel	21	Oak Creek-Franklin	5,839	\$9,806	\$57,255,944	\$58,861,669.00	\$60,499,508.50	\$54,106,867.08	\$54,106,867.08	(\$4,754,801.92)	(\$6,392,641.42)	(\$3,149,076.92)	(\$3,149,076.92)
Honadel	21	South Milwaukee	3,075	\$10,047	\$30,894,497	\$31,740,122.00	\$32,602,659.50	\$29,195,299.67	\$29,195,299.67	(\$2,544,822.34)	(\$3,407,359.84)	(\$1,699,197.34)	(\$1,699,197.34)
Honadel Total										(\$78,286,820.54)	(\$104,757,325.04)	(\$52,335,345.54)	(\$52,335,345.54)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Pasch	22	Fox Point J2	758	\$13,474	\$10,212,951	\$10,421,401.00	\$10,634,020.00	\$9,651,238.70	\$9,651,238.70	(\$770,162.31)	(\$982,781.31)	(\$561,712.31)	(\$561,712.31)
Pasch	22	Glendale-River Hills	898	\$12,347	\$11,087,241	\$11,334,191.00	\$11,586,080.00	\$10,477,442.75	\$10,477,442.75	(\$856,748.26)	(\$1,108,637.26)	(\$609,798.26)	(\$609,798.26)
Pasch	22	Maple Dale-Indian Hill	419	\$15,099	\$6,326,313	\$6,441,538.00	\$6,559,067.50	\$5,978,365.79	\$5,978,365.79	(\$463,172.22)	(\$580,701.72)	(\$347,947.22)	(\$347,947.22)
Pasch	22	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Pasch	22	Nicolet UHS	1,080	\$15,899	\$17,171,298	\$17,468,298.00	\$17,771,238.00	\$16,226,876.61	\$16,226,876.61	(\$1,241,421.39)	(\$1,544,361.39)	(\$944,421.39)	(\$944,421.39)
Pasch	22	Shorewood	1,618	\$12,101	\$19,579,547	\$20,024,497.00	\$20,478,346.00	\$18,502,671.92	\$18,502,671.92	(\$1,521,825.09)	(\$1,975,674.09)	(\$1,076,875.09)	(\$1,076,875.09)
Pasch	22	Whitefish Bay	2,593	\$11,616	\$30,120,487	\$30,833,562.00	\$31,560,898.50	\$28,463,860.22	\$28,463,860.22	(\$2,369,701.79)	(\$3,097,038.29)	(\$1,656,626.79)	(\$1,656,626.79)
Pasch Total										(\$78,210,227.32)	(\$104,246,517.82)	(\$52,684,452.32)	(\$52,684,452.32)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Ott	23	Brown Deer	1,582	\$12,290	\$19,443,116	\$19,878,166.00	\$20,321,917.00	\$18,373,744.62	\$18,373,744.62	(\$1,504,421.38)	(\$1,948,172.38)	(\$1,069,371.38)	(\$1,069,371.38)
Ott	23	Fox Point J3	758	\$13,474	\$10,212,951	\$10,421,401.00	\$10,634,020.00	\$9,651,238.70	\$9,651,238.70	(\$770,162.31)	(\$982,781.31)	(\$561,712.31)	(\$561,712.31)
Ott	23	Mequon-Thiensville	3,603	\$11,041	\$39,782,217	\$40,773,042.00	\$41,783,683.50	\$37,594,195.07	\$37,594,195.07	(\$3,178,846.94)	(\$4,189,488.44)	(\$2,188,021.94)	(\$2,188,021.94)
Ott	23	Milwaukee	85,455	\$10,104	\$863,401,296	\$886,901,421.00	\$910,871,548.50	\$815,914,224.72	\$815,914,224.72	(\$70,987,196.28)	(\$94,957,323.78)	(\$47,487,071.28)	(\$47,487,071.28)
Ott Total										(\$76,440,626.90)	(\$102,077,765.90)	(\$51,306,176.90)	(\$51,306,176.90)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Knodl	24	Germantown	3,961	\$10,503	\$41,604,275	\$42,693,550.00	\$43,804,610.50	\$39,316,039.88	\$39,316,039.88	(\$3,377,510.13)	(\$4,488,570.63)	(\$2,288,235.13)	(\$2,288,235.13)
Knodl	24	Menomonee Falls	4,028	\$11,470	\$46,202,703	\$47,310,403.00	\$48,440,257.00	\$43,661,554.34	\$43,661,554.34	(\$3,648,848.67)	(\$4,778,702.67)	(\$2,541,148.67)	(\$2,541,148.67)
Knodl Total										(\$7,026,358.79)	(\$9,267,273.29)	(\$4,829,383.79)	(\$4,829,383.79)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Ziegelbauer	25	Hilbert	490	\$9,683	\$4,744,601	\$4,879,351.00	\$5,016,796.00	\$4,483,647.95	\$4,483,647.95	(\$395,703.06)	(\$533,148.06)	(\$260,953.06)	(\$260,953.06)
Ziegelbauer	25	Kiel Area	1,418	\$9,545	\$13,534,989	\$13,924,939.00	\$14,322,688.00	\$12,790,564.61	\$12,790,564.61	(\$1,134,374.40)	(\$1,532,123.40)	(\$744,424.40)	(\$744,424.40)
Ziegelbauer	25	Manitowoc	5,481	\$9,477	\$51,945,343	\$53,713,800.00	\$55,251,220.50	\$49,088,349.14	\$49,088,349.14	(\$4,625,450.87)	(\$6,162,871.37)	(\$2,856,993.87)	(\$2,856,993.87)
Ziegelbauer	25	Reedsville	675	\$10,302	\$6,953,980	\$7,139,605.00	\$7,328,942.50	\$6,571,511.10	\$6,571,511.10	(\$568,093.90)	(\$757,431.40)	(\$382,468.90)	(\$382,468.90)
Ziegelbauer	25	Sheboygan Area	9,892	\$10,835	\$107,183,876	\$109,904,176.00	\$112,678,882.00	\$101,288,762.82	\$101,288,762.82	(\$8,615,413.18)	(\$11,390,119.18)	(\$5,895,113.18)	(\$5,895,113.18)
Ziegelbauer	25	Valders Area	1,086	\$10,203	\$11,080,136	\$11,378,786.00	\$11,683,409.00	\$10,470,728.52	\$10,470,728.52	(\$908,057.48)	(\$1,212,680.48)	(\$609,407.48)	(\$609,407.48)
Ziegelbauer Total										(\$16,247,092.88)	(\$21,588,373.88)	(\$10,749,360.88)	(\$10,749,360.88)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Endsley	26	Kohler	531	\$10,561	\$5,607,679	\$5,753,704.00	\$5,902,649.50	\$5,299,256.66	\$5,299,256.66	(\$454,447.35)	(\$603,392.85)	(\$308,422.35)	(\$308,422.35)
Endsley	26	Sheboygan Area	9,892	\$10,835	\$107,183,876	\$109,904,176.00	\$112,678,882.00	\$101,288,762.82	\$101,288,762.82	(\$8,615,413.18)	(\$11,390,119.18)	(\$5,895,113.18)	(\$5,895,113.18)
Endsley Total										(\$9,069,860.53)	(\$11,993,512.03)	(\$6,203,535.53)	(\$6,203,535.53)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Kestell	27	Elkhart Lake-Glenbeulah	534	\$9,946	\$5,311,233	\$5,458,083.00	\$5,607,870.00	\$5,019,115.19	\$5,019,115.19	(\$438,967.82)	(\$588,754.82)	(\$292,117.82)	(\$292,117.82)
Kestell	27	Howards Grove	954	\$10,016	\$9,555,717	\$9,818,067.00	\$10,085,664.00	\$9,030,152.57	\$9,030,152.57	(\$787,914.44)	(\$1,055,511.44)	(\$525,564.44)	(\$525,564.44)
Kestell	27	Kiel Area	1,418	\$9,545	\$13,534,989	\$13,924,939.00	\$14,322,688.00	\$12,790,564.61	\$12,790,564.61	(\$1,134,374.40)	(\$1,532,123.40)	(\$744,424.40)	(\$744,424.40)
Kestell	27	New Holstein	1,191	\$9,390	\$11,183,347	\$11,671,800.00	\$12,005,875.50	\$10,599,900.00	\$10,599,900.00	(\$1,071,900.00)	(\$1,405,975.50)	(\$583,447.00)	(\$583,447.00)
Kestell	27	Plymouth	2,362	\$9,422	\$22,254,103	\$23,147,600.00	\$23,810,141.00	\$21,030,127.34	\$21,030,127.34	(\$2,117,472.67)	(\$2,780,013.67)	(\$1,223,975.67)	(\$1,223,975.67)
Kestell	27	Sheboygan Area	9,892	\$10,835	\$107,183,876	\$109,904,176.00	\$112,678,882.00	\$101,288,762.82	\$101,288,762.82	(\$8,615,413.18)	(\$11,390,119.18)	(\$5,895,113.18)	(\$5,895,113.18)
Kestell	27	Sheboygan Falls	1,778	\$9,414	\$16,738,080	\$17,424,400.00	\$17,923,129.00	\$15,824,200.00	\$15,824,200.00	(\$1,600,200.00)	(\$2,098,929.00)	(\$913,880.00)	(\$913,880.00)
Kestell Total										(\$15,766,242.49)	(\$20,851,426.99)	(\$10,178,522.49)	(\$10,178,522.49)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Severson	28	Amery	1,705	\$9,939	\$16,946,145	\$17,415,020.00	\$17,893,272.50	\$16,014,107.03	\$16,014,107.03	(\$1,400,912.98)	(\$1,879,165.48)	(\$932,037.98)	(\$932,037.98)
Severson	28	Clayton	372	\$9,837	\$3,659,478	\$3,761,778.00	\$3,866,124.00	\$3,458,206.71	\$3,458,206.71	(\$303,571.29)	(\$407,917.29)	(\$201,271.29)	(\$201,271.29)
Severson	28	Clear Lake	630	\$9,526	\$6,001,267	\$6,174,517.00	\$6,351,232.00	\$5,671,197.32	\$5,671,197.32	(\$503,319.69)	(\$680,034.69)	(\$330,069.69)	(\$330,069.69)
Severson	28	Frederic	530	\$9,397	\$4,980,219	\$5,194,000.00	\$5,342,665.00	\$4,717,000.00	\$4,717,000.00	(\$477,000.00)	(\$625,665.00)	(\$263,219.00)	(\$263,219.00)
Severson	28	Grantsburg	927	\$9,391	\$8,705,791	\$9,084,600.00	\$9,344,623.50	\$8,250,300.00	\$8,250,300.00	(\$834,300.00)	(\$1,094,323.50)	(\$455,491.00)	(\$455,491.00)
Severson	28	Luck	529	\$9,456	\$5,002,240	\$5,184,200.00	\$5,332,584.50	\$4,727,116.80	\$4,727,116.80	(\$457,083.20)	(\$605,467.70)	(\$275,123.20)	(\$275,123.20)
Severson	28	Osceola	1,787	\$9,378	\$16,759,202	\$17,512,600.00	\$18,013,853.50	\$15,904,300.00	\$15,904,300.00	(\$1,608,300.00)	(\$2,109,553.50)	(\$854,902.00)	(\$854,902.00)
Severson	28	Saint Croix Falls	1,143	\$10,214	\$11,674,698	\$11,989,023.00	\$12,309,634.50	\$11,032,589.61	\$11,032,589.61	(\$956,433.39)	(\$1,277,044.89)	(\$642,108.39)	(\$642,108.39)
Severson	28	Siren	492	\$10,234	\$5,035,163	\$5,170,463.00	\$5,308,469.00	\$4,758,229.04	\$4,758,229.04	(\$412,233.97)	(\$550,239.97)	(\$276,933.97)	(\$276,933.97)
Severson	28	Somerset	1,583	\$10,204	\$16,153,289	\$16,588,614.00	\$17,032,645.50	\$15,264,858.11	\$15,264,858.11	(\$1,323,755.90)	(\$1,767,787.40)	(\$888,430.90)	(\$888,430.90)
Severson	28	Unity	1,132	\$10,272	\$11,628,442	\$11,939,742.00	\$12,257,268.00	\$10,988,877.69	\$10,988,877.69	(\$950,864.31)	(\$1,268,390.31)	(\$639,564.31)	(\$639,564.31)
Severson	28	Webster	717	\$9,863	\$7,072,070	\$7,269,245.00	\$7,470,363.50	\$6,683,106.15	\$6,683,106.15	(\$586,138.85)	(\$787,257.35)	(\$388,963.85)	(\$388,963.85)
Severson Total										(\$9,813,913.56)	(\$13,052,847.06)	(\$6,148,115.56)	(\$6,148,115.56)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Murtha	29	Baldwin-Woodville Area	1,564	\$9,517	\$14,884,291	\$15,327,200.00	\$15,765,902.00	\$14,065,655.00	\$14,065,655.00	(\$1,261,545.01)	(\$1,700,247.01)	(\$818,636.00)	(\$818,636.00)
Murtha	29	Elmwood	337	\$13,139	\$4,427,846	\$4,520,521.00	\$4,615,049.50	\$4,184,314.47	\$4,184,314.47	(\$336,206.53)	(\$430,735.03)	(\$243,531.53)	(\$243,531.53)
Murtha	29	Glenwood City	727	\$9,645	\$7,011,846	\$7,211,771.00	\$7,415,694.50	\$6,626,194.47	\$6,626,194.47	(\$585,576.53)	(\$789,500.03)	(\$385,651.53)	(\$385,651.53)
Murtha	29	Menomonie Area	3,273	\$10,011	\$32,765,973	\$33,666,048.00	\$34,584,124.50	\$30,963,844.49	\$30,963,844.49	(\$2,702,203.52)	(\$3,620,280.02)	(\$1,802,128.52)	(\$1,802,128.52)
Murtha	29	New Richmond	2,980	\$9,591	\$28,582,484	\$29,401,984.00	\$30,237,874.00	\$27,010,447.38	\$27,010,447.38	(\$2,391,536.62)	(\$3,227,426.62)	(\$1,572,036.62)	(\$1,572,036.62)
Murtha	29	Saint Croix Central	1,370	\$9,621	\$13,181,181	\$13,557,931.00	\$13,942,216.00	\$12,456,216.05	\$12,456,216.05	(\$1,101,714.96)	(\$1,485,999.96)	(\$724,964.96)	(\$724,964.96)
Murtha	29	Spring Valley	728	\$9,945	\$7,239,990	\$7,440,190.00	\$7,644,394.00	\$6,841,790.55	\$6,841,790.55	(\$598,399.45)	(\$802,603.45)	(\$398,199.45)	(\$398,199.45)
Murtha Total										(\$8,977,182.61)	(\$12,056,792.11)	(\$5,945,148.61)	(\$5,945,148.61)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Knudson	30	Ellsworth Community	1,692	\$9,401	\$15,906,204	\$16,581,600.00	\$17,056,206.00	\$15,058,800.00	\$15,058,800.00	(\$1,522,800.00)	(\$1,997,406.00)	(\$847,404.00)	(\$847,404.00)
Knudson	30	Hudson	5,459	\$8,805	\$48,064,746	\$53,498,200.00	\$55,029,449.50	\$48,585,100.00	\$48,585,100.00	(\$4,913,100.00)	(\$6,444,349.50)	\$520,354.00	\$520,354.00
Knudson	30	Prescott	1,288	\$9,516	\$12,256,292	\$12,622,400.00	\$12,983,684.00	\$11,582,195.94	\$11,582,195.94	(\$1,040,204.06)	(\$1,401,488.06)	(\$674,096.06)	(\$674,096.06)
Knudson	30	River Falls	2,981	\$9,689	\$28,881,549	\$29,701,324.00	\$30,537,494.50	\$27,293,063.81	\$27,293,063.81	(\$2,408,260.20)	(\$3,244,430.70)	(\$1,588,485.20)	(\$1,588,485.20)
Knudson Total										(\$9,884,364.26)	(\$13,087,674.26)	(\$2,589,631.26)	(\$2,589,631.26)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Nass	31	Elkhorn Area	3,029	\$9,559	\$28,952,817	\$29,785,792.00	\$30,635,426.50	\$27,360,412.07	\$27,360,412.07	(\$2,425,379.94)	(\$3,275,014.44)	(\$1,592,404.94)	(\$1,592,404.94)
Nass	31	Jefferson	1,915	\$10,065	\$19,274,229	\$19,800,854.00	\$20,338,011.50	\$18,214,146.41	\$18,214,146.41	(\$1,586,707.60)	(\$2,123,865.10)	(\$1,060,082.60)	(\$1,060,082.60)
Nass	31	Johnson Creek	663	\$10,871	\$7,207,570	\$7,389,895.00	\$7,575,866.50	\$6,811,153.65	\$6,811,153.65	(\$578,741.35)	(\$764,712.85)	(\$396,416.35)	(\$396,416.35)
Nass	31	Kettle Moraine	4,210	\$10,170	\$42,814,016	\$43,971,766.00	\$45,152,671.00	\$40,459,245.12	\$40,459,245.12	(\$3,512,520.88)	(\$4,693,425.88)	(\$2,354,770.88)	(\$2,354,770.88)
Nass	31	Oconomowoc Area	4,942	\$10,132	\$50,072,191	\$51,431,241.00	\$52,817,472.00	\$47,318,220.50	\$47,318,220.50	(\$4,113,020.51)	(\$5,499,251.51)	(\$2,753,970.51)	(\$2,753,970.51)
Nass	31	Palmyra-Eagle Area	1,258	\$9,971	\$12,543,971	\$12,889,921.00	\$13,242,790.00	\$11,854,052.60	\$11,854,052.60	(\$1,035,868.41)	(\$1,388,737.41)	(\$689,918.40)	(\$689,918.40)
Nass	31	Watertown	3,983	\$9,629	\$38,351,786	\$39,447,111.00	\$40,564,342.50	\$36,242,437.77	\$36,242,437.77	(\$3,204,673.23)	(\$4,321,904.73)	(\$2,109,348.23)	(\$2,109,348.23)
Nass Total										(\$16,456,911.90)	(\$22,066,911.90)	(\$10,956,911.90)	(\$10,956,911.90)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
August	32	Big Foot UHS	523	\$12,379	\$6,474,458	\$6,618,283.00	\$6,764,984.50	\$6,118,362.81	\$6,118,362.81	(\$499,920.19)	(\$646,621.69)	(\$356,095.19)	(\$356,095.19)
August	32	Burlington Area	3,567	\$10,401	\$37,099,748	\$38,080,673.00	\$39,081,216.50	\$35,059,261.86	\$35,059,261.86	(\$3,021,411.14)	(\$4,021,954.64)	(\$2,040,486.14)	(\$2,040,486.14)
August	32	Delavan-Darien	2,680	\$9,584	\$25,684,836	\$26,421,836.00	\$27,173,576.00	\$24,272,170.02	\$24,272,170.02	(\$2,149,665.98)	(\$2,901,405.98)	(\$1,412,665.98)	(\$1,412,665.98)
August	32	Fontana J8	246	\$11,826	\$2,909,075	\$2,976,725.00	\$3,045,728.00	\$2,749,075.88	\$2,749,075.88	(\$227,649.13)	(\$296,652.13)	(\$159,999.13)	(\$159,999.13)
August	32	GenevaJ4	108	\$14,827	\$1,601,304	\$1,631,004.00	\$1,661,298.00	\$1,513,232.28	\$1,513,232.28	(\$117,771.72)	(\$148,065.72)	(\$88,071.72)	(\$88,071.72)
August	32	Genoa City J2	652	\$9,511	\$6,201,341	\$6,389,600.00	\$6,572,486.00	\$5,860,267.25	\$5,860,267.25	(\$529,332.76)	(\$712,218.76)	(\$341,073.76)	(\$341,073.76)
August	32	Lake Geneva J1	2,026	\$9,923	\$20,104,496	\$20,661,646.00	\$21,229,939.00	\$18,998,748.72	\$18,998,748.72	(\$1,662,897.28)	(\$2,231,190.28)	(\$1,105,747.28)	(\$1,105,747.28)
August	32	Lake Geneva-Genoa City UHS	1,342	\$11,926	\$16,005,256	\$16,374,306.00	\$16,750,737.00	\$15,124,966.92	\$15,124,966.92	(\$1,249,339.08)	(\$1,625,770.08)	(\$880,289.08)	(\$880,289.08)
August	32	Linn J4	111	\$15,703	\$1,743,079	\$1,773,604.00	\$1,804,739.50	\$1,647,209.66	\$1,647,209.66	(\$126,394.35)	(\$157,529.85)	(\$95,869.35)	(\$95,869.35)
August	32	Linn J6	109	\$13,849	\$1,509,568	\$1,539,543.00	\$1,570,117.50	\$1,426,541.76	\$1,426,541.76	(\$113,001.24)	(\$143,575.74)	(\$83,026.24)	(\$83,026.24)
August	32	Sharon J11	294	\$10,790	\$3,172,172	\$3,253,022.00	\$3,335,489.00	\$2,997,702.54	\$2,997,702.54	(\$255,319.46)	(\$337,786.46)	(\$174,469.46)	(\$174,469.46)
August	32	Walworth J1	538	\$9,519	\$5,121,016	\$5,272,400.00	\$5,423,309.00	\$4,839,360.12	\$4,839,360.12	(\$433,039.88)	(\$583,948.88)	(\$281,655.88)	(\$281,655.88)
August	32	Wheatland J1	462	\$9,965	\$4,603,816	\$4,730,866.00	\$4,860,457.00	\$4,350,606.12	\$4,350,606.12	(\$380,259.88)	(\$509,850.88)	(\$253,209.88)	(\$253,209.88)
August	32	Williams Bay	518	\$12,432	\$6,439,806	\$6,582,256.00	\$6,727,555.00	\$6,085,616.67	\$6,085,616.67	(\$496,639.33)	(\$641,938.33)	(\$354,189.33)	(\$354,189.33)
August Total										(\$11,262,641.41)	(\$14,958,509.41)	(\$7,626,848.41)	(\$7,626,848.41)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Kapenga	33	Arrowhead UHS	2,171	\$10,278	\$22,313,894	\$22,910,919.00	\$23,519,884.50	\$21,086,629.83	\$21,086,629.83	(\$1,824,289.17)	(\$2,433,254.67)	(\$1,227,264.17)	(\$1,227,264.17)
Kapenga	33	Hartland-Lakeside J3	1,413	\$10,078	\$14,239,885	\$14,628,460.00	\$15,024,806.50	\$13,456,691.33	\$13,456,691.33	(\$1,171,768.68)	(\$1,568,115.18)	(\$783,193.67)	(\$783,193.67)
Kapenga	33	Kettle Moraine	4,210	\$10,170	\$42,814,016	\$43,971,766.00	\$45,152,671.00	\$40,459,245.12	\$40,459,245.12	(\$3,512,520.88)	(\$4,693,425.88)	(\$2,354,770.88)	(\$2,354,770.88)
Kapenga	33	Lake Country	452	\$11,327	\$5,119,750	\$5,244,050.00	\$5,370,836.00	\$4,838,163.75	\$4,838,163.75	(\$405,886.25)	(\$532,672.25)	(\$281,586.25)	(\$281,586.25)
Kapenga	33	Mukwonago	4,953	\$9,400	\$46,558,200	\$48,539,400.00	\$49,928,716.50	\$44,081,700.00	\$44,081,700.00	(\$4,457,700.00)	(\$5,847,016.50)	(\$2,476,500.00)	(\$2,476,500.00)
Kapenga	33	Waukesha	13,068	\$10,161	\$132,786,439	\$136,380,139.00	\$140,045,713.00	\$125,483,184.86	\$125,483,184.86	(\$10,896,954.15)	(\$14,562,528.15)	(\$7,303,254.15)	(\$7,303,254.15)
Kapenga Total										(\$22,269,119.12)	(\$29,637,012.62)	(\$14,426,569.12)	(\$14,426,569.12)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Meyer	34	North Lakeland	469	\$11,697	\$5,486,088	\$5,615,063.00	\$5,746,617.50	\$5,184,353.16	\$5,184,353.16	(\$430,709.84)	(\$562,264.34)	(\$301,734.84)	(\$301,734.84)
Meyer	34	Lac du Flambeau #1	839	\$13,241	\$11,108,983	\$11,339,708.00	\$11,575,047.50	\$10,497,988.94	\$10,497,988.94	(\$841,719.06)	(\$1,077,058.57)	(\$610,994.06)	(\$610,994.06)
Meyer	34	Lakeland UHS	554	\$11,261	\$6,238,330	\$6,390,680.00	\$6,546,077.00	\$5,895,221.85	\$5,895,221.85	(\$495,458.15)	(\$650,855.15)	(\$343,108.15)	(\$343,108.15)
Meyer	34	Minocqua J1	168	\$19,884	\$3,340,530	\$3,386,730.00	\$3,433,854.00	\$3,156,800.85	\$3,156,800.85	(\$229,929.15)	(\$277,053.15)	(\$183,729.15)	(\$183,729.15)
Meyer	34	Northland Pines	1,402	\$10,498	\$14,718,742	\$15,104,292.00	\$15,497,553.00	\$13,909,211.19	\$13,909,211.19	(\$1,195,080.81)	(\$1,588,341.81)	(\$809,530.81)	(\$809,530.81)
Meyer	34	Phelps	142	\$11,627	\$1,651,010	\$1,690,060.00	\$1,729,891.00	\$1,560,204.45	\$1,560,204.45	(\$129,855.55)	(\$169,686.55)	(\$90,805.55)	(\$90,805.55)
Meyer	34	Rhinelanders	2,638	\$9,871	\$26,038,854	\$26,764,304.00	\$27,504,263.00	\$24,606,717.03	\$24,606,717.03	(\$2,157,586.97)	(\$2,897,545.97)	(\$1,432,136.97)	(\$1,432,136.97)
Meyer	34	Three Lakes	560	\$10,226	\$5,726,538	\$5,880,538.00	\$6,037,618.00	\$5,411,578.41	\$5,411,578.41	(\$468,959.59)	(\$626,039.59)	(\$314,959.59)	(\$314,959.59)
Meyer	34	Woodruff J1	472	\$10,034	\$4,736,175	\$4,865,975.00	\$4,998,371.00	\$4,475,685.38	\$4,475,685.38	(\$390,289.63)	(\$522,685.63)	(\$260,489.63)	(\$260,489.63)
Meyer Total										(\$6,339,588.75)	(\$8,371,530.75)	(\$4,347,488.75)	(\$4,347,488.75)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Tiffany	35	Antigo	2,582	\$9,602	\$24,793,010	\$25,503,060.00	\$26,227,311.00	\$23,429,394.45	\$23,429,394.45	(\$2,073,665.55)	(\$2,797,916.55)	(\$1,363,615.55)	(\$1,363,615.55)
Tiffany	35	Athens	524	\$10,649	\$5,580,269	\$5,724,369.00	\$5,871,351.00	\$5,273,354.21	\$5,273,354.21	(\$451,014.80)	(\$597,996.80)	(\$306,914.80)	(\$306,914.80)
Tiffany	35	Elcho	380	\$10,597	\$4,026,863	\$4,131,363.00	\$4,237,953.00	\$3,805,385.54	\$3,805,385.54	(\$325,977.47)	(\$432,567.47)	(\$221,477.47)	(\$221,477.47)
Tiffany	35	Merrill Area	3,047	\$9,394	\$28,623,000	\$29,860,600.00	\$30,715,283.50	\$27,118,300.00	\$27,118,300.00	(\$2,742,300.00)	(\$3,596,983.50)	(\$1,504,700.00)	(\$1,504,700.00)
Tiffany	35	Rhineland	2,638	\$9,871	\$26,038,854	\$26,764,304.00	\$27,504,263.00	\$24,606,717.03	\$24,606,717.03	(\$2,157,586.97)	(\$2,897,545.97)	(\$1,432,136.97)	(\$1,432,136.97)
Tiffany	35	Tomahawk	1,408	\$9,445	\$13,298,007	\$13,798,400.00	\$14,193,344.00	\$12,566,616.62	\$12,566,616.62	(\$1,231,783.39)	(\$1,626,727.39)	(\$731,390.38)	(\$731,390.38)
Tiffany Total										(\$8,982,328.17)	(\$11,949,737.67)	(\$5,560,235.17)	(\$5,560,235.17)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Mursau	36	Antigo	2,582	\$9,602	\$24,793,010	\$25,503,060.00	\$26,227,311.00	\$23,429,394.45	\$23,429,394.45	(\$2,073,665.55)	(\$2,797,916.55)	(\$1,363,615.55)	(\$1,363,615.55)
Mursau	36	Beecher-Dunbar-Pembine	251	\$11,508	\$2,888,524	\$2,957,549.00	\$3,027,954.50	\$2,729,655.18	\$2,729,655.18	(\$227,893.82)	(\$298,299.32)	(\$158,868.82)	(\$158,868.82)
Mursau	36	Crandon	943	\$9,877	\$9,313,566	\$9,572,891.00	\$9,837,402.50	\$8,801,319.87	\$8,801,319.87	(\$771,571.13)	(\$1,036,082.63)	(\$512,246.13)	(\$512,246.13)
Mursau	36	Crivitz	725	\$9,601	\$6,960,764	\$7,160,139.00	\$7,363,501.50	\$6,577,921.98	\$6,577,921.98	(\$582,217.02)	(\$785,579.52)	(\$382,842.02)	(\$382,842.02)
Mursau	36	Florence	544	\$9,654	\$5,251,956	\$5,401,556.00	\$5,554,148.00	\$4,963,098.42	\$4,963,098.42	(\$438,457.58)	(\$591,049.58)	(\$288,857.58)	(\$288,857.58)
Mursau	36	Goodman-Armstrong	157	\$12,127	\$1,903,914	\$1,947,089.00	\$1,991,127.50	\$1,799,198.73	\$1,799,198.73	(\$147,890.27)	(\$191,928.77)	(\$104,715.27)	(\$104,715.27)
Mursau	36	Laona	228	\$11,142	\$2,540,342	\$2,603,042.00	\$2,666,996.00	\$2,400,623.19	\$2,400,623.19	(\$202,418.81)	(\$266,372.81)	(\$139,718.81)	(\$139,718.81)
Mursau	36	Marinette	2,213	\$9,414	\$20,833,337	\$21,687,400.00	\$22,308,146.50	\$19,695,700.00	\$19,695,700.00	(\$1,991,700.00)	(\$2,612,446.50)	(\$1,137,637.00)	(\$1,137,637.00)
Mursau	36	Menominee Indian	903	\$10,840	\$9,788,449	\$10,036,774.00	\$10,290,065.50	\$9,250,084.31	\$9,250,084.31	(\$786,689.70)	(\$1,039,981.20)	(\$538,364.70)	(\$538,364.70)
Mursau	36	Niagara	409	\$10,086	\$4,125,301	\$4,237,776.00	\$4,352,500.50	\$3,898,409.45	\$3,898,409.45	(\$339,366.56)	(\$454,091.06)	(\$226,891.56)	(\$226,891.56)
Mursau	36	Suring	488	\$9,913	\$4,837,739	\$4,971,939.00	\$5,108,823.00	\$4,571,663.36	\$4,571,663.36	(\$400,275.65)	(\$537,159.65)	(\$266,075.65)	(\$266,075.65)
Mursau	36	Wabeno Area	508	\$9,950	\$5,054,740	\$5,194,440.00	\$5,336,934.00	\$4,776,729.30	\$4,776,729.30	(\$417,710.70)	(\$560,204.70)	(\$278,010.70)	(\$278,010.70)
Mursau	36	Wausaukee	548	\$9,723	\$5,327,935	\$5,478,635.00	\$5,632,349.00	\$5,034,898.58	\$5,034,898.58	(\$443,736.42)	(\$597,450.42)	(\$293,036.42)	(\$293,036.42)
Mursau	36	White Lake	207	\$10,530	\$2,179,724	\$2,236,649.00	\$2,294,712.50	\$2,059,839.18	\$2,059,839.18	(\$176,809.82)	(\$234,873.32)	(\$119,884.82)	(\$119,884.82)
Mursau	36	Wittenberg-Birnamwood	1,248	\$9,424	\$11,761,119	\$12,230,400.00	\$12,580,464.00	\$11,114,257.46	\$11,114,257.46	(\$1,116,142.55)	(\$1,466,206.55)	(\$646,861.55)	(\$646,861.55)
Mursau Total										(\$10,116,545.57)	(\$13,469,642.57)	(\$6,457,626.57)	(\$6,457,626.57)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Jorgensen	37	Cambridge	894	\$9,815	\$8,774,359	\$9,020,209.00	\$9,270,976.00	\$8,291,769.26	\$8,291,769.26	(\$728,439.75)	(\$979,206.75)	(\$482,589.75)	(\$482,589.75)
Jorgensen	37	Deerfield Community	798	\$10,663	\$8,508,715	\$8,728,165.00	\$8,952,004.00	\$8,040,735.68	\$8,040,735.68	(\$687,429.33)	(\$911,268.33)	(\$467,979.33)	(\$467,979.33)
Jorgensen	37	Fort Atkinson	2,772	\$9,902	\$27,447,031	\$28,209,331.00	\$28,986,877.00	\$25,937,444.30	\$25,937,444.30	(\$2,271,886.71)	(\$3,049,432.71)	(\$1,509,586.71)	(\$1,509,586.71)
Jorgensen	37	Jefferson	1,915	\$10,065	\$19,274,229	\$19,800,854.00	\$20,338,011.50	\$18,214,146.41	\$18,214,146.41	(\$1,586,707.60)	(\$2,123,865.10)	(\$1,060,082.60)	(\$1,060,082.60)
Jorgensen	37	Lake Mills Area	1,335	\$10,078	\$13,454,524	\$13,821,649.00	\$14,196,116.50	\$12,714,525.18	\$12,714,525.18	(\$1,107,123.82)	(\$1,481,591.32)	(\$739,998.82)	(\$739,998.82)
Jorgensen	37	Oconomowoc Area	4,942	\$10,132	\$50,072,191	\$51,431,241.00	\$52,817,472.00	\$47,318,220.50	\$47,318,220.50	(\$4,113,020.51)	(\$5,499,251.51)	(\$2,753,970.51)	(\$2,753,970.51)
Jorgensen	37	Waterloo	884	\$10,235	\$9,047,957	\$9,291,057.00	\$9,539,019.00	\$8,550,319.37	\$8,550,319.37	(\$740,737.64)	(\$988,699.64)	(\$497,637.64)	(\$497,637.64)
Jorgensen Total										(\$11,235,345.33)	(\$15,033,315.33)	(\$7,511,845.33)	(\$7,511,845.33)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Kleefisch	38	Columbus	1,146	\$10,314	\$11,819,459	\$12,134,609.00	\$12,456,062.00	\$11,169,388.76	\$11,169,388.76	(\$965,220.25)	(\$1,286,673.25)	(\$650,070.25)	(\$650,070.25)
Kleefisch	38	Dodgeland	845	\$10,596	\$8,953,966	\$9,186,341.00	\$9,423,363.50	\$8,461,497.87	\$8,461,497.87	(\$724,843.13)	(\$961,865.63)	(\$492,468.13)	(\$492,468.13)
Kleefisch	38	Hustisford	430	\$10,240	\$4,403,075	\$4,521,325.00	\$4,641,940.00	\$4,160,905.88	\$4,160,905.88	(\$360,419.13)	(\$481,034.13)	(\$242,169.13)	(\$242,169.13)
Kleefisch	38	Oconomowoc Area	4,942	\$10,132	\$50,072,191	\$51,431,241.00	\$52,817,472.00	\$47,318,220.50	\$47,318,220.50	(\$4,113,020.51)	(\$5,499,251.51)	(\$2,753,970.51)	(\$2,753,970.51)
Kleefisch	38	Rubicon J6	103	\$9,614	\$990,259	\$1,018,584.00	\$1,047,475.50	\$935,794.76	\$935,794.76	(\$82,789.24)	(\$111,680.75)	(\$54,464.24)	(\$54,464.24)
Kleefisch	38	Watertown	3,983	\$9,629	\$38,351,786	\$39,447,111.00	\$40,564,342.50	\$36,242,437.77	\$36,242,437.77	(\$3,204,673.23)	(\$4,321,904.73)	(\$2,109,348.23)	(\$2,109,348.23)
Kleefisch Total										(\$9,450,965.48)	(\$12,662,409.98)	(\$6,302,490.48)	(\$6,302,490.48)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Fitzgerald	39	Beaver Dam	3,499	\$9,871	\$34,538,018	\$35,500,243.00	\$36,481,712.50	\$32,638,427.01	\$32,638,427.01	(\$2,861,815.99)	(\$3,843,285.49)	(\$1,899,590.99)	(\$1,899,590.99)
Fitzgerald	39	Dodgeland	845	\$10,596	\$8,953,966	\$9,186,341.00	\$9,423,363.50	\$8,461,497.87	\$8,461,497.87	(\$724,843.13)	(\$961,865.63)	(\$492,468.13)	(\$492,468.13)
Fitzgerald	39	Herman #22	91	\$10,992	\$1,000,255	\$1,025,280.00	\$1,050,805.50	\$945,240.98	\$945,240.98	(\$80,039.03)	(\$105,564.53)	(\$55,014.03)	(\$55,014.03)
Fitzgerald	39	Horicon	892	\$9,706	\$8,658,037	\$8,903,337.00	\$9,153,543.00	\$8,181,844.97	\$8,181,844.97	(\$721,492.04)	(\$971,698.04)	(\$476,192.04)	(\$476,192.04)
Fitzgerald	39	Lomira	1,082	\$9,696	\$10,490,794	\$10,788,344.00	\$11,091,845.00	\$9,913,800.33	\$9,913,800.33	(\$874,543.67)	(\$1,178,044.67)	(\$576,993.67)	(\$576,993.67)
Fitzgerald	39	Mayville	1,168	\$9,998	\$11,677,534	\$11,998,734.00	\$12,326,358.00	\$11,035,269.63	\$11,035,269.63	(\$963,464.37)	(\$1,291,088.37)	(\$642,264.37)	(\$642,264.37)
Fitzgerald	39	Neosho J3	209	\$10,131	\$2,117,308	\$2,174,783.00	\$2,233,407.50	\$2,000,856.06	\$2,000,856.06	(\$173,926.94)	(\$232,551.44)	(\$116,451.94)	(\$116,451.94)
Fitzgerald	39	Randolph	498	\$10,530	\$5,243,935	\$5,380,885.00	\$5,520,574.00	\$4,955,518.58	\$4,955,518.58	(\$425,366.43)	(\$565,055.43)	(\$288,416.43)	(\$288,416.43)
Fitzgerald	39	Waupun	2,061	\$9,559	\$19,701,470	\$20,268,245.00	\$20,846,355.50	\$18,617,889.15	\$18,617,889.15	(\$1,650,355.85)	(\$2,228,466.35)	(\$1,083,580.85)	(\$1,083,580.85)
Fitzgerald Total										(\$8,475,847.44)	(\$11,377,619.94)	(\$5,630,972.44)	(\$5,630,972.44)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Petersen	40	Clintonville	1,498	\$9,849	\$14,754,117	\$15,166,067.00	\$15,586,256.00	\$13,942,640.57	\$13,942,640.57	(\$1,223,426.44)	(\$1,643,615.44)	(\$811,476.43)	(\$811,476.43)
Petersen	40	Hortonville	3,407	\$9,412	\$32,067,202	\$33,388,600.00	\$34,344,263.50	\$30,322,300.00	\$30,322,300.00	(\$3,066,300.00)	(\$4,021,963.50)	(\$1,744,902.00)	(\$1,744,902.00)
Petersen	40	Iola-Scandinavia	759	\$9,498	\$7,209,218	\$7,438,200.00	\$7,651,099.50	\$6,812,711.01	\$6,812,711.01	(\$625,488.99)	(\$838,388.49)	(\$396,506.99)	(\$396,506.99)
Petersen	40	Manawa	792	\$9,400	\$7,444,800	\$7,761,600.00	\$7,983,756.00	\$7,048,800.00	\$7,048,800.00	(\$712,800.00)	(\$934,956.00)	(\$396,000.00)	(\$396,000.00)
Petersen	40	Marion	542	\$9,387	\$5,087,965	\$5,311,600.00	\$5,463,631.00	\$4,823,800.00	\$4,823,800.00	(\$487,800.00)	(\$639,831.00)	(\$264,165.00)	(\$264,165.00)
Petersen	40	New London	2,464	\$9,575	\$23,593,731	\$24,271,331.00	\$24,962,483.00	\$22,296,075.80	\$22,296,075.80	(\$1,975,255.21)	(\$2,666,407.21)	(\$1,297,655.21)	(\$1,297,655.21)
Petersen	40	Waupaca	2,333	\$8,870	\$20,692,935	\$22,863,400.00	\$23,517,806.50	\$20,763,700.00	\$20,763,700.00	(\$2,099,700.00)	(\$2,754,106.50)	\$70,765.00	\$70,765.00
Petersen	40	Weyauwega-Fremont	958	\$9,512	\$9,112,314	\$9,388,400.00	\$9,657,119.00	\$8,611,136.73	\$8,611,136.73	(\$777,263.27)	(\$1,045,982.27)	(\$501,177.27)	(\$501,177.27)
Petersen Total										(\$10,968,033.90)	(\$14,545,250.40)	(\$5,341,117.90)	(\$5,341,117.90)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Ballweg	41	Berlin Area	1,652	\$9,383	\$15,501,382	\$16,189,600.00	\$16,652,986.00	\$14,702,800.00	\$14,702,800.00	(\$1,486,800.00)	(\$1,950,186.00)	(\$798,582.00)	(\$798,582.00)
Ballweg	41	Green Lake	303	\$12,936	\$3,919,694	\$4,003,019.00	\$4,088,010.50	\$3,704,110.83	\$3,704,110.83	(\$298,908.17)	(\$383,899.67)	(\$215,583.17)	(\$215,583.17)
Ballweg	41	Markesan	768	\$9,385	\$7,207,772	\$7,526,400.00	\$7,741,824.00	\$6,835,200.00	\$6,835,200.00	(\$691,200.00)	(\$906,624.00)	(\$372,572.00)	(\$372,572.00)
Ballweg	41	Princeton	383	\$9,400	\$3,600,200	\$3,753,400.00	\$3,860,831.50	\$3,408,700.00	\$3,408,700.00	(\$344,700.00)	(\$452,131.50)	(\$191,500.00)	(\$191,500.00)
Ballweg	41	Ripon	1,763	\$9,997	\$17,624,023	\$18,108,848.00	\$18,603,369.50	\$16,654,701.74	\$16,654,701.74	(\$1,454,146.27)	(\$1,948,667.77)	(\$969,321.27)	(\$969,321.27)
Ballweg	41	Rosendale-Brandon	995	\$9,776	\$9,727,056	\$10,000,681.00	\$10,279,778.50	\$9,192,067.92	\$9,192,067.92	(\$808,613.08)	(\$1,087,710.58)	(\$534,988.08)	(\$534,988.08)
Ballweg	41	Waupun	2,061	\$9,559	\$19,701,470	\$20,268,245.00	\$20,846,355.50	\$18,617,889.15	\$18,617,889.15	(\$1,650,355.85)	(\$2,228,466.35)	(\$1,083,580.85)	(\$1,083,580.85)
Ballweg	41	Wautoma Area	1,475	\$9,393	\$13,855,079	\$14,455,000.00	\$14,868,737.50	\$13,127,500.00	\$13,127,500.00	(\$1,327,500.00)	(\$1,741,237.50)	(\$727,579.00)	(\$727,579.00)
Ballweg	41	Westfield	1,257	\$9,391	\$11,805,114	\$12,318,600.00	\$12,671,188.50	\$11,187,300.00	\$11,187,300.00	(\$1,131,300.00)	(\$1,483,888.50)	(\$617,814.00)	(\$617,814.00)
Ballweg	41	Weyauwega-Fremont	958	\$9,512	\$9,112,314	\$9,388,400.00	\$9,657,119.00	\$8,611,136.73	\$8,611,136.73	(\$777,263.27)	(\$1,045,982.27)	(\$501,177.27)	(\$501,177.27)
Ballweg	41	Wild Rose	702	\$9,409	\$6,605,114	\$6,879,600.00	\$7,076,511.00	\$6,247,800.00	\$6,247,800.00	(\$631,800.00)	(\$828,711.00)	(\$357,314.00)	(\$357,314.00)
Ballweg Total										(\$10,602,586.64)	(\$14,057,505.14)	(\$6,370,011.64)	(\$6,370,011.64)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Clark	42	Baraboo	3,020	\$9,437	\$28,500,694	\$29,596,000.00	\$30,443,110.00	\$26,933,155.83	\$26,933,155.83	(\$2,662,844.17)	(\$3,509,954.17)	(\$1,567,538.17)	(\$1,567,538.17)
Clark	42	Montello	736	\$9,400	\$6,918,400	\$7,212,800.00	\$7,419,248.00	\$6,550,400.00	\$6,550,400.00	(\$662,400.00)	(\$868,848.00)	(\$368,000.00)	(\$368,000.00)
Clark	42	Pardeeville Area	927	\$9,429	\$8,740,229	\$9,084,600.00	\$9,344,623.50	\$8,259,516.41	\$8,259,516.41	(\$825,083.60)	(\$1,085,107.10)	(\$480,712.60)	(\$480,712.60)
Clark	42	Portage Community	2,463	\$9,459	\$23,298,728	\$24,137,400.00	\$24,828,271.50	\$22,017,297.96	\$22,017,297.96	(\$2,120,102.04)	(\$2,810,973.54)	(\$1,281,430.04)	(\$1,281,430.04)
Clark	42	Westfield	1,257	\$9,391	\$11,805,114	\$12,318,600.00	\$12,671,188.50	\$11,187,300.00	\$11,187,300.00	(\$1,131,300.00)	(\$1,483,888.50)	(\$617,814.00)	(\$617,814.00)
Clark	42	Wisconsin Dells	1,704	\$10,053	\$17,129,738	\$17,598,338.00	\$18,076,310.00	\$16,187,602.41	\$16,187,602.41	(\$1,410,735.59)	(\$1,888,707.59)	(\$942,135.59)	(\$942,135.59)
Clark Total										(\$8,812,465.40)	(\$11,647,478.90)	(\$5,257,630.40)	(\$5,257,630.40)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Wynn	43	Beloit Turner	1,297	\$9,806	\$12,718,876	\$13,075,551.00	\$13,439,359.50	\$12,019,337.82	\$12,019,337.82	(\$1,056,213.18)	(\$1,420,021.68)	(\$699,538.18)	(\$699,538.18)
Wynn	43	Edgerton	1,827	\$9,813	\$17,927,839	\$18,430,264.00	\$18,942,737.50	\$16,941,807.86	\$16,941,807.86	(\$1,488,456.15)	(\$2,000,929.65)	(\$986,031.15)	(\$986,031.15)
Wynn	43	Milton	3,368	\$9,610	\$32,365,500	\$33,291,700.00	\$34,236,424.00	\$30,585,397.50	\$30,585,397.50	(\$2,706,302.50)	(\$3,651,026.50)	(\$1,780,102.50)	(\$1,780,102.50)
Wynn	43	Parkview	1,039	\$10,205	\$10,603,005	\$10,888,730.00	\$11,180,169.50	\$10,019,839.73	\$10,019,839.73	(\$868,890.27)	(\$1,160,329.78)	(\$583,165.27)	(\$583,165.27)
Wynn	43	Whitewater	2,031	\$9,726	\$19,752,824	\$20,311,349.00	\$20,881,044.50	\$18,666,418.68	\$18,666,418.68	(\$1,644,930.32)	(\$2,214,625.82)	(\$1,086,405.32)	(\$1,086,405.32)
Wynn Total										(\$7,764,792.42)	(\$10,446,933.42)	(\$5,135,242.42)	(\$5,135,242.42)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Knilians	44	Janesville	10,195	\$9,453	\$96,373,679	\$99,911,000.00	\$102,770,697.50	\$91,073,126.66	\$91,073,126.66	(\$8,837,873.35)	(\$11,697,570.85)	(\$5,300,552.35)	(\$5,300,552.35)
Knilians Total										(\$8,837,873.35)	(\$11,697,570.85)	(\$5,300,552.35)	(\$5,300,552.35)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Loudenbeck	45	Beloit	7,097	\$9,805	\$69,584,743	\$71,536,418.00	\$73,527,126.50	\$65,757,582.14	\$65,757,582.14	(\$5,778,835.87)	(\$7,769,544.37)	(\$3,827,160.87)	(\$3,827,160.87)
Loudenbeck	45	Clinton Community	1,148	\$10,127	\$11,625,750	\$11,941,450.00	\$12,263,464.00	\$10,986,333.75	\$10,986,333.75	(\$955,116.25)	(\$1,277,130.25)	(\$639,416.25)	(\$639,416.25)
Loudenbeck	45	Janesville	10,195	\$9,453	\$96,373,679	\$99,911,000.00	\$102,770,697.50	\$91,073,126.66	\$91,073,126.66	(\$8,837,873.35)	(\$11,697,570.85)	(\$5,300,552.35)	(\$5,300,552.35)
Loudenbeck Total										(\$15,571,825.46)	(\$20,744,245.46)	(\$9,767,129.46)	(\$9,767,129.46)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Hebl	46	Monona Grove	2,896	\$11,014	\$31,897,071	\$32,693,471.00	\$33,505,799.00	\$30,142,732.10	\$30,142,732.10	(\$2,550,738.91)	(\$3,363,066.91)	(\$1,754,338.91)	(\$1,754,338.91)
Hebl	46	Oregon	3,619	\$10,664	\$38,592,779	\$39,588,004.00	\$40,603,133.50	\$36,470,176.16	\$36,470,176.16	(\$3,117,827.85)	(\$4,132,957.35)	(\$2,122,602.85)	(\$2,122,602.85)
Hebl	46	Stoughton Area	3,417	\$9,398	\$32,113,645	\$33,486,600.00	\$34,445,068.50	\$30,411,300.00	\$30,411,300.00	(\$3,075,300.00)	(\$4,033,768.50)	(\$1,702,345.00)	(\$1,702,345.00)
Hebl	46	Sun Prairie Area	6,572	\$10,437	\$68,588,914	\$70,396,214.00	\$72,239,660.00	\$64,816,523.73	\$64,816,523.73	(\$5,579,690.27)	(\$7,423,136.27)	(\$3,772,390.27)	(\$3,772,390.27)
Hebl Total										(\$14,323,557.02)	(\$18,952,929.02)	(\$9,351,677.02)	(\$9,351,677.02)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Ripp	47	Cambria-Friesland	447	\$9,918	\$4,433,315	\$4,556,240.00	\$4,681,623.50	\$4,189,482.68	\$4,189,482.68	(\$366,757.33)	(\$492,140.83)	(\$243,832.33)	(\$243,832.33)
Ripp	47	De Forest Area	3,228	\$10,474	\$33,810,350	\$34,698,050.00	\$35,603,504.00	\$31,950,780.75	\$31,950,780.75	(\$2,747,269.25)	(\$3,652,723.25)	(\$1,859,569.25)	(\$1,859,569.25)
Ripp	47	Fall River	515	\$9,433	\$4,858,169	\$5,047,000.00	\$5,191,457.50	\$4,590,969.71	\$4,590,969.71	(\$456,030.30)	(\$600,487.80)	(\$267,199.30)	(\$267,199.30)
Ripp	47	Lodi	1,657	\$9,889	\$16,385,543	\$16,841,218.00	\$17,306,006.50	\$15,484,338.14	\$15,484,338.14	(\$1,356,879.87)	(\$1,821,668.37)	(\$901,204.86)	(\$901,204.86)
Ripp	47	Marshall	1,205	\$9,789	\$11,795,215	\$12,126,590.00	\$12,464,592.50	\$11,146,478.18	\$11,146,478.18	(\$980,111.83)	(\$1,318,114.33)	(\$648,736.83)	(\$648,736.83)
Ripp	47	Poynette	1,115	\$9,532	\$10,628,342	\$10,934,967.00	\$11,247,724.50	\$10,043,783.19	\$10,043,783.19	(\$891,183.81)	(\$1,203,941.31)	(\$584,558.81)	(\$584,558.81)
Ripp	47	Rio Community	494	\$9,780	\$4,831,558	\$4,967,408.00	\$5,105,975.00	\$4,565,822.31	\$4,565,822.31	(\$401,585.69)	(\$540,152.69)	(\$265,735.69)	(\$265,735.69)
Ripp	47	Sauk Prairie	2,646	\$9,998	\$26,454,399	\$27,182,049.00	\$27,924,252.00	\$24,999,407.06	\$24,999,407.06	(\$2,182,641.95)	(\$2,924,844.95)	(\$1,454,991.95)	(\$1,454,991.95)
Ripp	47	Wisconsin Heights	882	\$10,002	\$8,821,358	\$9,063,908.00	\$9,311,309.00	\$8,336,183.31	\$8,336,183.31	(\$727,724.69)	(\$975,125.69)	(\$485,174.69)	(\$485,174.69)
Ripp Total										(\$10,110,184.70)	(\$13,529,199.20)	(\$6,711,003.70)	(\$6,711,003.70)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Parisi	48	Madison Metropolitan	25,085	\$11,153	\$279,767,398	\$286,665,773.00	\$293,702,115.50	\$264,380,191.11	\$264,380,191.11	(\$22,285,581.89)	(\$29,321,924.39)	(\$15,387,206.89)	(\$15,387,206.89)
Parisi	48	McFarland	2,009	\$10,857	\$21,811,752	\$22,364,227.00	\$22,927,751.50	\$20,612,105.64	\$20,612,105.64	(\$1,752,121.36)	(\$2,315,645.86)	(\$1,199,646.36)	(\$1,199,646.36)
Parisi	48	Monona Grove	2,896	\$11,014	\$31,897,071	\$32,693,471.00	\$33,505,799.00	\$30,142,732.10	\$30,142,732.10	(\$2,550,738.91)	(\$3,363,066.91)	(\$1,754,338.91)	(\$1,754,338.91)
Parisi Total										(\$26,588,442.16)	(\$35,000,637.16)	(\$18,341,192.16)	(\$18,341,192.16)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Tranel	49	Boscobel Area	894	\$9,400	\$8,403,600	\$8,761,200.00	\$9,011,967.00	\$7,956,600.00	\$7,956,600.00	(\$804,600.00)	(\$1,055,367.00)	(\$447,000.00)	(\$447,000.00)
Tranel	49	Cassville	255	\$10,221	\$2,606,370	\$2,676,495.00	\$2,748,022.50	\$2,463,019.65	\$2,463,019.65	(\$213,475.35)	(\$285,002.85)	(\$143,350.35)	(\$143,350.35)
Tranel	49	Cuba City	639	\$10,623	\$6,788,103	\$6,963,828.00	\$7,143,067.50	\$6,414,757.34	\$6,414,757.34	(\$549,070.66)	(\$728,310.16)	(\$373,345.66)	(\$373,345.66)
Tranel	49	Fennimore Community	733	\$9,617	\$7,049,290	\$7,250,865.00	\$7,456,471.50	\$6,661,579.05	\$6,661,579.05	(\$589,285.95)	(\$794,892.45)	(\$387,710.95)	(\$387,710.95)
Tranel	49	Lancaster Community	912	\$11,109	\$10,131,118	\$10,381,918.00	\$10,637,734.00	\$9,573,906.51	\$9,573,906.51	(\$808,011.49)	(\$1,063,827.49)	(\$557,211.49)	(\$557,211.49)
Tranel	49	Platteville	1,392	\$10,623	\$14,787,411	\$15,170,211.00	\$15,560,667.00	\$13,974,103.40	\$13,974,103.40	(\$1,196,107.61)	(\$1,586,563.61)	(\$813,307.60)	(\$813,307.60)
Tranel	49	Potosi	346	\$12,099	\$4,186,226	\$4,281,376.00	\$4,378,429.00	\$3,955,983.57	\$3,955,983.57	(\$325,392.43)	(\$422,445.43)	(\$230,242.43)	(\$230,242.43)
Tranel	49	River Ridge	533	\$10,845	\$5,780,337	\$5,926,912.00	\$6,076,418.50	\$5,462,418.47	\$5,462,418.47	(\$464,493.54)	(\$614,000.04)	(\$317,918.54)	(\$317,918.54)
Tranel	49	Riverdale	708	\$9,468	\$6,703,500	\$6,938,400.00	\$7,136,994.00	\$6,334,807.50	\$6,334,807.50	(\$603,592.50)	(\$802,186.50)	(\$368,692.50)	(\$368,692.50)
Tranel	49	Southwestern Wisconsin	564	\$9,799	\$5,526,619	\$5,681,719.00	\$5,839,921.00	\$5,222,654.96	\$5,222,654.96	(\$459,064.05)	(\$617,266.05)	(\$303,964.05)	(\$303,964.05)
Tranel Total										(\$6,013,093.57)	(\$7,969,861.57)	(\$3,942,743.57)	(\$3,942,743.57)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Brooks	50	Baraboo	3,020	\$9,437	\$28,500,694	\$29,596,000.00	\$30,443,110.00	\$26,933,155.83	\$26,933,155.83	(\$2,662,844.17)	(\$3,509,954.17)	(\$1,567,538.17)	(\$1,567,538.17)
Brooks	50	Mauston	1,483	\$10,175	\$15,089,057	\$15,496,882.00	\$15,912,863.50	\$14,259,158.87	\$14,259,158.87	(\$1,237,723.14)	(\$1,653,704.64)	(\$829,898.14)	(\$829,898.14)
Brooks	50	Necedah Area	782	\$9,878	\$7,724,478	\$7,939,528.00	\$8,158,879.00	\$7,299,631.71	\$7,299,631.71	(\$639,896.29)	(\$859,247.29)	(\$424,846.29)	(\$424,846.29)
Brooks	50	New Lisbon	638	\$9,764	\$6,229,619	\$6,405,069.00	\$6,584,028.00	\$5,886,989.96	\$5,886,989.96	(\$518,079.05)	(\$697,038.05)	(\$342,629.05)	(\$342,629.05)
Brooks	50	Reedsburg	2,561	\$9,794	\$25,081,373	\$25,785,648.00	\$26,504,008.50	\$23,701,897.49	\$23,701,897.49	(\$2,083,750.52)	(\$2,802,111.02)	(\$1,379,475.52)	(\$1,379,475.52)
Brooks	50	Richland	1,379	\$9,629	\$13,278,281	\$13,657,506.00	\$14,044,315.50	\$12,547,975.55	\$12,547,975.55	(\$1,109,530.46)	(\$1,496,339.96)	(\$730,305.45)	(\$730,305.45)
Brooks	50	Royall	602	\$10,266	\$6,180,068	\$6,345,618.00	\$6,514,479.00	\$5,840,164.26	\$5,840,164.26	(\$505,453.74)	(\$674,314.74)	(\$339,903.74)	(\$339,903.74)
Brooks	50	Weston	319	\$9,956	\$3,175,986	\$3,263,711.00	\$3,353,190.50	\$3,001,306.77	\$3,001,306.77	(\$262,404.23)	(\$351,883.73)	(\$174,679.23)	(\$174,679.23)
Brooks	50	Wonewoc-Union Center	398	\$11,489	\$4,572,796	\$4,682,246.00	\$4,793,885.00	\$4,321,292.22	\$4,321,292.22	(\$360,953.78)	(\$472,592.78)	(\$251,503.78)	(\$251,503.78)
Brooks Total										(\$9,380,635.36)	(\$12,517,186.36)	(\$6,040,779.36)	(\$6,040,779.36)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Marklein	51	Argyle	338	\$9,905	\$3,347,975	\$3,440,925.00	\$3,535,734.00	\$3,163,836.38	\$3,163,836.38	(\$277,088.63)	(\$371,897.63)	(\$184,138.63)	(\$184,138.63)
Marklein	51	Barneveld	444	\$10,227	\$4,540,952	\$4,663,052.00	\$4,787,594.00	\$4,291,199.64	\$4,291,199.64	(\$371,852.36)	(\$496,394.36)	(\$249,752.36)	(\$249,752.36)
Marklein	51	Belmont Community	313	\$9,423	\$2,949,327	\$3,067,400.00	\$3,155,196.50	\$2,787,114.02	\$2,787,114.02	(\$280,285.99)	(\$368,082.49)	(\$162,212.99)	(\$162,212.99)
Marklein	51	Benton	250	\$9,762	\$2,440,483	\$2,509,233.00	\$2,579,358.00	\$2,306,256.44	\$2,306,256.44	(\$202,976.57)	(\$273,101.57)	(\$134,226.57)	(\$134,226.57)
Marklein	51	Black Hawk	420	\$10,396	\$4,366,328	\$4,481,828.00	\$4,599,638.00	\$4,126,179.96	\$4,126,179.96	(\$355,648.04)	(\$473,458.04)	(\$240,148.04)	(\$240,148.04)
Marklein	51	Darlington Community	776	\$9,576	\$7,430,898	\$7,644,298.00	\$7,861,966.00	\$7,022,198.61	\$7,022,198.61	(\$622,099.39)	(\$839,767.39)	(\$408,699.39)	(\$408,699.39)
Marklein	51	Dodgeville	1,336	\$11,000	\$14,695,519	\$15,062,919.00	\$15,437,667.00	\$13,887,265.46	\$13,887,265.46	(\$1,175,653.55)	(\$1,550,401.55)	(\$808,253.55)	(\$808,253.55)
Marklein	51	Highland	264	\$10,318	\$2,723,994	\$2,796,594.00	\$2,870,646.00	\$2,574,174.33	\$2,574,174.33	(\$222,419.67)	(\$296,471.67)	(\$149,819.67)	(\$149,819.67)
Marklein	51	Iowa-Grant	777	\$9,838	\$7,644,398	\$7,858,073.00	\$8,076,021.50	\$7,223,956.11	\$7,223,956.11	(\$634,116.89)	(\$852,065.39)	(\$420,441.89)	(\$420,441.89)
Marklein	51	Ithaca	323	\$11,260	\$3,636,873	\$3,725,698.00	\$3,816,299.50	\$3,436,844.99	\$3,436,844.99	(\$288,853.02)	(\$379,454.52)	(\$200,028.02)	(\$200,028.02)
Marklein	51	Mineral Point	760	\$9,923	\$7,541,503	\$7,750,503.00	\$7,963,683.00	\$7,126,720.34	\$7,126,720.34	(\$623,782.67)	(\$836,962.67)	(\$414,782.67)	(\$414,782.67)
Marklein	51	Pecatonica Area	450	\$11,196	\$5,038,250	\$5,162,000.00	\$5,288,225.00	\$4,761,146.25	\$4,761,146.25	(\$400,853.75)	(\$527,078.75)	(\$277,103.75)	(\$277,103.75)
Marklein	51	River Valley	1,388	\$9,440	\$13,103,372	\$13,602,400.00	\$13,991,734.00	\$12,382,686.54	\$12,382,686.54	(\$1,219,713.46)	(\$1,609,047.46)	(\$720,685.46)	(\$720,685.46)
Marklein	51	Sauk Prairie	2,646	\$9,998	\$26,454,399	\$27,182,049.00	\$27,924,252.00	\$24,999,407.06	\$24,999,407.06	(\$2,182,641.95)	(\$2,924,844.95)	(\$1,454,991.95)	(\$1,454,991.95)
Marklein	51	Shullsburg	363	\$9,423	\$3,420,426	\$3,557,400.00	\$3,659,221.50	\$3,232,302.57	\$3,232,302.57	(\$325,097.43)	(\$426,918.93)	(\$188,123.43)	(\$188,123.43)
Marklein Total										(\$9,183,083.34)	(\$12,225,947.34)	(\$6,013,408.34)	(\$6,013,408.34)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Thiesfeldt	52	Fond du Lac	7,184	\$9,430	\$67,746,682	\$70,403,200.00	\$72,418,312.00	\$64,020,614.49	\$64,020,614.49	(\$6,382,585.51)	(\$8,397,697.51)	(\$3,726,067.51)	(\$3,726,067.51)
Thiesfeldt	52	North Fond du Lac	1,221	\$9,478	\$11,572,686	\$11,965,800.00	\$12,308,290.50	\$10,936,188.27	\$10,936,188.27	(\$1,029,611.73)	(\$1,372,102.23)	(\$636,497.73)	(\$636,497.73)
Thiesfeldt Total										(\$7,412,197.24)	(\$9,769,799.74)	(\$4,362,565.24)	(\$4,362,565.24)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Spanbauer	53	Fond du Lac	7,184	\$9,430	\$67,746,682	\$70,403,200.00	\$72,418,312.00	\$64,020,614.49	\$64,020,614.49	(\$6,382,585.51)	(\$8,397,697.51)	(\$3,726,067.51)	(\$3,726,067.51)
Spanbauer	53	Oakfield	525	\$9,681	\$5,082,756	\$5,227,131.00	\$5,374,393.50	\$4,803,204.42	\$4,803,204.42	(\$423,926.58)	(\$571,189.08)	(\$279,551.58)	(\$279,551.58)
Spanbauer	53	Omro	1,278	\$9,552	\$12,207,673	\$12,559,123.00	\$12,917,602.00	\$11,536,250.99	\$11,536,250.99	(\$1,022,872.02)	(\$1,381,351.02)	(\$671,422.02)	(\$671,422.02)
Spanbauer	53	Oshkosh Area	10,024	\$9,433	\$94,558,901	\$98,235,200.00	\$101,046,932.00	\$89,358,161.45	\$89,358,161.45	(\$8,877,038.55)	(\$11,688,770.56)	(\$5,200,739.55)	(\$5,200,739.55)
Spanbauer	53	Rosendale-Brandon	995	\$9,776	\$9,727,056	\$10,000,681.00	\$10,279,778.50	\$9,192,067.92	\$9,192,067.92	(\$808,613.08)	(\$1,087,710.58)	(\$534,988.08)	(\$534,988.08)
Spanbauer	53	Waupun	2,061	\$9,559	\$19,701,470	\$20,268,245.00	\$20,846,355.50	\$18,617,889.15	\$18,617,889.15	(\$1,650,355.85)	(\$2,228,466.35)	(\$1,083,580.85)	(\$1,083,580.85)
Spanbauer Total										(\$19,165,391.59)	(\$25,355,185.09)	(\$11,496,349.59)	(\$11,496,349.59)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Hintz	54	Oshkosh Area	10,024	\$9,433	\$94,558,901	\$98,235,200.00	\$101,046,932.00	\$89,358,161.45	\$89,358,161.45	(\$8,877,038.55)	(\$11,688,770.56)	(\$5,200,739.55)	(\$5,200,739.55)
Hintz Total										(\$8,877,038.55)	(\$11,688,770.56)	(\$5,200,739.55)	(\$5,200,739.55)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Kaufert	55	Menasha	3,672	\$9,823	\$36,070,799	\$37,080,599.00	\$38,110,595.00	\$34,086,905.06	\$34,086,905.06	(\$2,993,693.95)	(\$4,023,689.95)	(\$1,983,893.95)	(\$1,983,893.95)
Kaufert	55	Neenah	6,399	\$9,821	\$62,845,677	\$64,605,402.00	\$66,400,321.50	\$59,389,164.77	\$59,389,164.77	(\$5,216,237.23)	(\$7,011,156.73)	(\$3,456,512.23)	(\$3,456,512.23)
Kaufert Total										(\$8,209,931.18)	(\$11,034,846.68)	(\$5,440,406.18)	(\$5,440,406.18)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Litjens	56	Appleton Area	14,217	\$9,854	\$140,095,344	\$144,005,019.00	\$147,992,887.50	\$132,390,100.08	\$132,390,100.08	(\$11,614,918.92)	(\$15,602,787.42)	(\$7,705,243.92)	(\$7,705,243.92)
Litjens	56	Hortonville	3,407	\$9,412	\$32,067,202	\$33,388,600.00	\$34,344,263.50	\$30,322,300.00	\$30,322,300.00	(\$3,066,300.00)	(\$4,021,963.50)	(\$1,744,902.00)	(\$1,744,902.00)
Litjens	56	Neenah	6,399	\$9,821	\$62,845,677	\$64,605,402.00	\$66,400,321.50	\$59,389,164.77	\$59,389,164.77	(\$5,216,237.23)	(\$7,011,156.73)	(\$3,456,512.23)	(\$3,456,512.23)
Litjens	56	Winneconne Community	1,514	\$9,400	\$14,231,600	\$14,837,200.00	\$15,261,877.00	\$13,474,600.00	\$13,474,600.00	(\$1,362,600.00)	(\$1,787,277.00)	(\$757,000.00)	(\$757,000.00)
Litjens Total										(\$21,260,056.16)	(\$28,423,184.66)	(\$13,663,658.16)	(\$13,663,658.16)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Bernard Schaber	57	Appleton Area	14,217	\$9,854	\$140,095,344	\$144,005,019.00	\$147,992,887.50	\$132,390,100.08	\$132,390,100.08	(\$11,614,918.92)	(\$15,602,787.42)	(\$7,705,243.92)	(\$7,705,243.92)
Bernard Schaber Total										(\$11,614,918.92)	(\$15,602,787.42)	(\$7,705,243.92)	(\$7,705,243.92)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Strachota	58	Slinger	2,708	\$9,416	\$25,497,201	\$26,538,400.00	\$27,297,994.00	\$24,101,200.00	\$24,101,200.00	(\$2,437,200.00)	(\$3,196,794.00)	(\$1,396,001.00)	(\$1,396,001.00)
Strachota	58	West Bend	6,933	\$9,413	\$65,263,601	\$67,943,400.00	\$69,888,106.50	\$61,703,700.00	\$61,703,700.00	(\$6,239,700.00)	(\$8,184,406.50)	(\$3,559,901.00)	(\$3,559,901.00)
Strachota Total										(\$8,676,900.00)	(\$11,381,200.50)	(\$4,955,902.00)	(\$4,955,902.00)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
LeMahieu	59	Campbellsport	1,480	\$9,479	\$14,029,276	\$14,504,000.00	\$14,919,140.00	\$13,257,665.82	\$13,257,665.82	(\$1,246,334.18)	(\$1,661,474.18)	(\$771,610.18)	(\$771,610.18)
LeMahieu	59	Cedar Grove-Belgium Area	1,108	\$9,427	\$10,444,917	\$10,858,400.00	\$11,169,194.00	\$9,870,446.57	\$9,870,446.57	(\$987,953.44)	(\$1,298,747.44)	(\$574,470.44)	(\$574,470.44)
LeMahieu	59	Kewaskum	2,021	\$9,745	\$19,694,746	\$20,250,521.00	\$20,817,411.50	\$18,611,534.97	\$18,611,534.97	(\$1,638,986.03)	(\$2,205,876.53)	(\$1,083,211.03)	(\$1,083,211.03)
LeMahieu	59	Lomira	1,082	\$9,696	\$10,490,794	\$10,788,344.00	\$11,091,845.00	\$9,913,800.33	\$9,913,800.33	(\$874,543.67)	(\$1,178,044.67)	(\$576,993.67)	(\$576,993.67)
LeMahieu	59	Northern Ozaukee	857	\$10,269	\$8,800,639	\$9,036,314.00	\$9,276,702.50	\$8,316,603.86	\$8,316,603.86	(\$719,710.15)	(\$960,098.65)	(\$484,035.15)	(\$484,035.15)
LeMahieu	59	Oostburg	1,022	\$9,397	\$9,603,469	\$10,015,600.00	\$10,302,271.00	\$9,095,800.00	\$9,095,800.00	(\$919,800.00)	(\$1,206,471.00)	(\$507,669.00)	(\$507,669.00)
LeMahieu	59	Plymouth	2,362	\$9,422	\$22,254,103	\$23,147,600.00	\$23,810,141.00	\$21,030,127.34	\$21,030,127.34	(\$2,117,472.67)	(\$2,780,013.67)	(\$1,223,975.67)	(\$1,223,975.67)
LeMahieu	59	Random Lake	977	\$9,449	\$9,231,243	\$9,574,600.00	\$9,848,648.50	\$8,723,524.64	\$8,723,524.64	(\$851,075.36)	(\$1,125,123.87)	(\$507,718.36)	(\$507,718.36)
LeMahieu Total										(\$9,355,875.49)	(\$12,415,849.99)	(\$5,729,683.49)	(\$5,729,683.49)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Vacant60	60	Cedarburg	2,988	\$10,108	\$30,202,922	\$31,024,622.00	\$31,862,756.00	\$28,541,761.29	\$28,541,761.29	(\$2,482,860.71)	(\$3,320,994.71)	(\$1,661,160.71)	(\$1,661,160.71)
Vacant60	60	Grafton	2,086	\$10,672	\$22,262,550	\$22,836,200.00	\$23,421,323.00	\$21,038,109.75	\$21,038,109.75	(\$1,798,090.25)	(\$2,383,213.25)	(\$1,224,440.25)	(\$1,224,440.25)
Vacant60	60	Port Washington-Saukville	2,689	\$10,424	\$28,031,011	\$28,770,486.00	\$29,524,750.50	\$26,489,305.40	\$26,489,305.40	(\$2,281,180.61)	(\$3,035,445.11)	(\$1,541,705.61)	(\$1,541,705.61)
Vacant60 Total										(\$6,562,131.57)	(\$8,739,653.07)	(\$4,427,306.57)	(\$4,427,306.57)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Turner	61	Racine	21,342	\$9,855	\$210,317,835	\$216,186,885.00	\$222,173,316.00	\$198,750,354.08	\$198,750,354.08	(\$17,436,530.93)	(\$23,422,961.93)	(\$11,567,480.93)	(\$11,567,480.93)
Turner Total										(\$17,436,530.93)	(\$23,422,961.93)	(\$11,567,480.93)	(\$11,567,480.93)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Mason	62	Racine	21,342	\$9,855	\$210,317,835	\$216,186,885.00	\$222,173,316.00	\$198,750,354.08	\$198,750,354.08	(\$17,436,530.93)	(\$23,422,961.93)	(\$11,567,480.93)	(\$11,567,480.93)
Mason Total										(\$17,436,530.93)	(\$23,422,961.93)	(\$11,567,480.93)	(\$11,567,480.93)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Vos	63	Burlington Area	3,567	\$10,401	\$37,099,748	\$38,080,673.00	\$39,081,216.50	\$35,059,261.86	\$35,059,261.86	(\$3,021,411.14)	(\$4,021,954.64)	(\$2,040,486.14)	(\$2,040,486.14)
Vos	63	Dover #1	123	\$11,083	\$1,363,242	\$1,397,067.00	\$1,431,568.50	\$1,288,263.69	\$1,288,263.69	(\$108,803.31)	(\$143,304.81)	(\$74,978.31)	(\$74,978.31)
Vos	63	Muskego-Norway	4,848	\$10,461	\$50,715,752	\$52,048,952.00	\$53,408,816.00	\$47,926,385.64	\$47,926,385.64	(\$4,122,566.36)	(\$5,482,430.36)	(\$2,789,366.36)	(\$2,789,366.36)
Vos	63	North Cape	219	\$10,730	\$2,349,873	\$2,410,098.00	\$2,471,527.50	\$2,220,629.99	\$2,220,629.99	(\$189,468.02)	(\$250,897.52)	(\$129,243.02)	(\$129,243.02)
Vos	63	Norway J7	85	\$12,146	\$1,032,368	\$1,055,743.00	\$1,079,585.50	\$975,587.76	\$975,587.76	(\$80,155.24)	(\$103,997.74)	(\$56,780.24)	(\$56,780.24)
Vos	63	Racine	21,342	\$9,855	\$210,317,835	\$216,186,885.00	\$222,173,316.00	\$198,750,354.08	\$198,750,354.08	(\$17,436,530.93)	(\$23,422,961.93)	(\$11,567,480.93)	(\$11,567,480.93)
Vos	63	Raymond #14	374	\$11,125	\$4,160,783	\$4,263,633.00	\$4,368,540.00	\$3,931,939.94	\$3,931,939.94	(\$331,693.07)	(\$436,600.07)	(\$228,843.07)	(\$228,843.07)
Vos	63	Union Grove J1	693	\$10,930	\$7,574,406	\$7,764,981.00	\$7,959,367.50	\$7,157,813.67	\$7,157,813.67	(\$607,167.33)	(\$801,553.83)	(\$416,592.33)	(\$416,592.33)
Vos	63	Union Grove UHS	742	\$11,590	\$8,600,046	\$8,804,096.00	\$9,012,227.00	\$8,127,043.47	\$8,127,043.47	(\$677,052.53)	(\$885,183.53)	(\$473,002.53)	(\$473,002.53)
Vos	63	Yorkville J2	332	\$10,818	\$3,591,493	\$3,682,793.00	\$3,775,919.00	\$3,393,960.89	\$3,393,960.89	(\$288,832.12)	(\$381,958.12)	(\$197,532.12)	(\$197,532.12)
Vos Total										(\$26,863,680.03)	(\$35,930,842.53)	(\$17,974,305.03)	(\$17,974,305.03)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Barca	64	Kenosha	22,594	\$10,143	\$229,159,798	\$235,373,148.00	\$241,710,765.00	\$216,556,009.11	\$216,556,009.11	(\$18,817,138.89)	(\$25,154,755.89)	(\$12,603,788.89)	(\$12,603,788.89)
Barca Total										(\$18,817,138.89)	(\$25,154,755.89)	(\$12,603,788.89)	(\$12,603,788.89)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Steinbrink	65	Kenosha	22,594	\$10,143	\$229,159,798	\$235,373,148.00	\$241,710,765.00	\$216,556,009.11	\$216,556,009.11	(\$18,817,138.89)	(\$25,154,755.89)	(\$12,603,788.89)	(\$12,603,788.89)
Steinbrink Total										(\$18,817,138.89)	(\$25,154,755.89)	(\$12,603,788.89)	(\$12,603,788.89)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Kerkman	66	Brighton #1	137	\$10,162	\$1,392,203	\$1,429,878.00	\$1,468,306.50	\$1,315,631.84	\$1,315,631.84	(\$114,246.17)	(\$152,674.67)	(\$76,571.17)	(\$76,571.17)
Kerkman	66	Bristol #1	580	\$9,857	\$5,716,876	\$5,876,376.00	\$6,039,066.00	\$5,402,447.82	\$5,402,447.82	(\$473,928.18)	(\$636,618.18)	(\$314,428.18)	(\$314,428.18)
Kerkman	66	Burlington Area	3,567	\$10,401	\$37,099,748	\$38,080,673.00	\$39,081,216.50	\$35,059,261.86	\$35,059,261.86	(\$3,021,411.14)	(\$4,021,954.64)	(\$2,040,486.14)	(\$2,040,486.14)
Kerkman	66	Central/Westosha UHS	1,235	\$10,698	\$13,211,872	\$13,551,497.00	\$13,897,914.50	\$12,485,219.04	\$12,485,219.04	(\$1,066,277.96)	(\$1,412,695.46)	(\$726,652.96)	(\$726,652.96)
Kerkman	66	Kenosha	22,594	\$10,143	\$229,159,798	\$235,373,148.00	\$241,710,765.00	\$216,556,009.11	\$216,556,009.11	(\$18,817,138.89)	(\$25,154,755.89)	(\$12,603,788.89)	(\$12,603,788.89)
Kerkman	66	Paris J1	187	\$10,870	\$2,032,660	\$2,084,085.00	\$2,136,538.50	\$1,920,863.70	\$1,920,863.70	(\$163,221.30)	(\$215,674.80)	(\$111,796.30)	(\$111,796.30)
Kerkman	66	Randall J1	673	\$9,478	\$6,378,556	\$6,595,400.00	\$6,784,176.50	\$6,027,735.42	\$6,027,735.42	(\$567,664.58)	(\$756,441.08)	(\$350,820.58)	(\$350,820.58)
Kerkman	66	Salem J2	1,105	\$9,392	\$10,377,787	\$10,829,000.00	\$11,138,952.50	\$9,834,500.00	\$9,834,500.00	(\$994,500.00)	(\$1,304,452.50)	(\$543,287.00)	(\$543,287.00)
Kerkman	66	Silver Lake J1	516	\$9,467	\$4,884,913	\$5,056,800.00	\$5,201,538.00	\$4,616,242.79	\$4,616,242.79	(\$440,557.22)	(\$585,295.22)	(\$268,670.22)	(\$268,670.22)
Kerkman	66	Trevor-Wilmot Consolidated	570	\$11,209	\$6,389,407	\$6,546,157.00	\$6,706,042.00	\$6,037,989.62	\$6,037,989.62	(\$508,167.39)	(\$668,052.39)	(\$351,417.39)	(\$351,417.39)
Kerkman	66	Twin Lakes #4	450	\$10,102	\$4,546,105	\$4,669,855.00	\$4,796,080.00	\$4,296,069.23	\$4,296,069.23	(\$373,785.78)	(\$500,010.78)	(\$250,035.78)	(\$250,035.78)
Kerkman	66	Wilmot UHS	1,216	\$11,414	\$13,878,978	\$14,213,378.00	\$14,554,466.00	\$13,115,634.21	\$13,115,634.21	(\$1,097,743.79)	(\$1,438,831.79)	(\$763,343.79)	(\$763,343.79)
Kerkman Total										(\$27,638,642.38)	(\$36,847,457.38)	(\$18,401,298.38)	(\$18,401,298.38)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Larson	67	Bloomer	1,130	\$10,079	\$11,389,512	\$11,700,262.00	\$12,017,227.00	\$10,763,088.84	\$10,763,088.84	(\$937,173.16)	(\$1,254,138.16)	(\$626,423.16)	(\$626,423.16)
Larson	67	Boyceville Community	783	\$9,649	\$7,554,995	\$7,770,320.00	\$7,989,951.50	\$7,139,470.28	\$7,139,470.28	(\$630,849.73)	(\$850,481.23)	(\$415,524.73)	(\$415,524.73)
Larson	67	Cadott Community	881	\$8,771	\$7,727,317	\$8,633,800.00	\$8,880,920.50	\$7,840,900.00	\$7,840,900.00	(\$792,900.00)	(\$1,040,020.50)	\$113,583.00	\$113,583.00
Larson	67	Chippewa Falls Area	5,000	\$10,138	\$50,692,428	\$52,067,428.00	\$53,469,928.00	\$47,904,344.46	\$47,904,344.46	(\$4,163,083.54)	(\$5,565,583.54)	(\$2,788,083.54)	(\$2,788,083.54)
Larson	67	Colfax	832	\$9,644	\$8,024,062	\$8,252,862.00	\$8,486,238.00	\$7,582,738.59	\$7,582,738.59	(\$670,123.41)	(\$903,499.41)	(\$441,323.41)	(\$441,323.41)
Larson	67	Cornell	472	\$9,412	\$4,442,360	\$4,625,600.00	\$4,757,996.00	\$4,200,800.00	\$4,200,800.00	(\$424,800.00)	(\$557,196.00)	(\$241,560.00)	(\$241,560.00)
Larson	67	Elk Mound Area	1,042	\$9,440	\$9,836,574	\$10,211,600.00	\$10,503,881.00	\$9,295,562.43	\$9,295,562.43	(\$916,037.57)	(\$1,208,318.57)	(\$541,011.57)	(\$541,011.57)
Larson	67	Lake Holcombe	382	\$10,272	\$3,923,786	\$4,028,836.00	\$4,135,987.00	\$3,707,977.77	\$3,707,977.77	(\$320,858.23)	(\$428,009.23)	(\$215,808.23)	(\$215,808.23)
Larson	67	Menomonie Area	3,273	\$10,011	\$32,765,973	\$33,666,048.00	\$34,584,124.50	\$30,963,844.49	\$30,963,844.49	(\$2,702,203.52)	(\$3,620,280.02)	(\$1,802,128.52)	(\$1,802,128.52)
Larson	67	New Auburn	306	\$10,546	\$3,227,086	\$3,311,236.00	\$3,397,069.00	\$3,049,596.27	\$3,049,596.27	(\$261,639.73)	(\$347,472.73)	(\$177,489.73)	(\$177,489.73)
Larson Total										(\$11,819,668.88)	(\$15,774,999.38)	(\$7,135,769.88)	(\$7,135,769.88)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Bernier	68	Altoona	1,441	\$9,594	\$13,824,446	\$14,220,721.00	\$14,624,921.50	\$13,064,101.47	\$13,064,101.47	(\$1,156,619.53)	(\$1,560,820.03)	(\$760,344.53)	(\$760,344.53)
Bernier	68	Chippewa Falls Area	5,000	\$10,138	\$50,692,428	\$52,067,428.00	\$53,469,928.00	\$47,904,344.46	\$47,904,344.46	(\$4,163,083.54)	(\$5,565,583.54)	(\$2,788,083.54)	(\$2,788,083.54)
Bernier	68	Eau Claire Area	10,594	\$10,167	\$107,708,245	\$110,621,595.00	\$113,593,212.00	\$101,784,291.53	\$101,784,291.53	(\$8,837,303.47)	(\$11,808,920.48)	(\$5,923,953.47)	(\$5,923,953.47)
Bernier	68	Fall Creek	826	\$10,224	\$8,444,927	\$8,672,077.00	\$8,903,770.00	\$7,980,456.02	\$7,980,456.02	(\$691,620.99)	(\$923,313.99)	(\$464,470.99)	(\$464,470.99)
Bernier Total										(\$14,848,627.53)	(\$19,858,638.03)	(\$9,936,852.53)	(\$9,936,852.53)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Suder	69	Abbotsford	658	\$9,761	\$6,422,991	\$6,603,941.00	\$6,788,510.00	\$6,069,726.50	\$6,069,726.50	(\$534,214.51)	(\$718,783.51)	(\$353,264.51)	(\$353,264.51)
Suder	69	Colby	974	\$9,417	\$9,172,363	\$9,545,200.00	\$9,818,407.00	\$8,668,600.00	\$8,668,600.00	(\$876,600.00)	(\$1,149,807.00)	(\$503,763.00)	(\$503,763.00)
Suder	69	Edgar	663	\$10,235	\$6,785,476	\$6,967,801.00	\$7,153,772.50	\$6,412,274.82	\$6,412,274.82	(\$555,526.18)	(\$741,497.68)	(\$373,201.18)	(\$373,201.18)
Suder	69	Granton Area	264	\$10,653	\$2,812,339	\$2,884,939.00	\$2,958,991.00	\$2,657,660.36	\$2,657,660.36	(\$227,278.65)	(\$301,330.65)	(\$154,678.65)	(\$154,678.65)
Suder	69	Greenwood	423	\$9,743	\$4,121,356	\$4,237,681.00	\$4,356,332.50	\$3,894,681.42	\$3,894,681.42	(\$342,999.58)	(\$461,651.08)	(\$226,674.58)	(\$226,674.58)
Suder	69	Loyal	562	\$9,624	\$5,408,839	\$5,563,389.00	\$5,721,030.00	\$5,111,352.86	\$5,111,352.86	(\$452,036.15)	(\$609,677.15)	(\$297,486.15)	(\$297,486.15)
Suder	69	Neillsville	1,017	\$9,453	\$9,613,574	\$9,966,600.00	\$10,251,868.50	\$9,084,827.43	\$9,084,827.43	(\$881,772.57)	(\$1,167,041.07)	(\$528,746.57)	(\$528,746.57)
Suder	69	Owen-Withee	557	\$9,428	\$5,251,129	\$5,458,600.00	\$5,614,838.50	\$4,962,316.91	\$4,962,316.91	(\$496,283.10)	(\$652,521.60)	(\$288,812.10)	(\$288,812.10)
Suder	69	Spencer	805	\$9,872	\$7,946,948	\$8,168,323.00	\$8,394,125.50	\$7,509,865.86	\$7,509,865.86	(\$658,457.14)	(\$884,259.64)	(\$437,082.14)	(\$437,082.14)
Suder	69	Stanley-Boyd Area	956	\$9,083	\$8,683,496	\$9,368,800.00	\$9,636,958.00	\$8,508,400.00	\$8,508,400.00	(\$860,400.00)	(\$1,128,558.00)	(\$175,096.00)	(\$175,096.00)
Suder	69	Stratford	857	\$9,302	\$7,971,850	\$8,398,600.00	\$8,638,988.50	\$7,627,300.00	\$7,627,300.00	(\$771,300.00)	(\$1,011,688.50)	(\$344,550.00)	(\$344,550.00)
Suder	69	Thorp	557	\$9,538	\$5,312,904	\$5,466,079.00	\$5,622,317.50	\$5,020,694.28	\$5,020,694.28	(\$445,384.72)	(\$601,623.22)	(\$292,209.72)	(\$292,209.72)
Suder Total										(\$7,102,252.58)	(\$9,428,439.08)	(\$3,975,564.58)	(\$3,975,564.58)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Vruwink	70	Auburndale	839	\$9,400	\$7,886,600	\$8,222,200.00	\$8,457,539.50	\$7,467,100.00	\$7,467,100.00	(\$755,100.00)	(\$990,439.50)	(\$419,500.00)	(\$419,500.00)
Vruwink	70	Marshfield	3,951	\$9,475	\$37,434,026	\$38,719,800.00	\$39,828,055.50	\$35,375,154.57	\$35,375,154.57	(\$3,344,645.43)	(\$4,452,900.93)	(\$2,058,871.43)	(\$2,058,871.43)
Vruwink	70	Mosinee	2,145	\$10,081	\$21,623,946	\$22,213,821.00	\$22,815,493.50	\$20,434,628.97	\$20,434,628.97	(\$1,779,192.03)	(\$2,380,864.53)	(\$1,189,317.03)	(\$1,189,317.03)
Vruwink	70	Nekoosa	1,338	\$9,491	\$12,699,368	\$13,112,400.00	\$13,487,709.00	\$12,000,902.76	\$12,000,902.76	(\$1,111,497.24)	(\$1,486,806.24)	(\$698,465.24)	(\$698,465.24)
Vruwink	70	Pittsville	635	\$10,300	\$6,540,253	\$6,714,878.00	\$6,892,995.50	\$6,180,539.09	\$6,180,539.09	(\$534,338.92)	(\$712,456.42)	(\$359,713.92)	(\$359,713.92)
Vruwink	70	Port Edwards	461	\$11,065	\$5,100,763	\$5,227,538.00	\$5,356,848.50	\$4,820,221.04	\$4,820,221.04	(\$407,316.97)	(\$536,627.47)	(\$280,541.97)	(\$280,541.97)
Vruwink	70	Rosholt	623	\$9,679	\$6,029,785	\$6,201,110.00	\$6,375,861.50	\$5,698,146.83	\$5,698,146.83	(\$502,963.18)	(\$677,714.68)	(\$331,638.18)	(\$331,638.18)
Vruwink	70	Stevens Point Area	7,361	\$9,435	\$69,450,055	\$72,137,800.00	\$74,202,560.50	\$65,630,301.98	\$65,630,301.98	(\$6,507,498.03)	(\$8,572,258.53)	(\$3,819,753.03)	(\$3,819,753.03)
Vruwink	70	Wisconsin Rapids	5,518	\$9,591	\$52,921,910	\$54,439,360.00	\$55,987,159.00	\$50,011,204.95	\$50,011,204.95	(\$4,428,155.05)	(\$5,975,954.05)	(\$2,910,705.05)	(\$2,910,705.05)
Vruwink Total										(\$19,370,706.83)	(\$25,786,022.33)	(\$12,068,505.83)	(\$12,068,505.83)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Molepske	71	Almond-Bancroft	484	\$9,465	\$4,581,283	\$4,743,200.00	\$4,878,962.00	\$4,329,312.44	\$4,329,312.44	(\$413,887.57)	(\$549,649.57)	(\$251,970.57)	(\$251,970.57)
Molepske	71	Stevens Point Area	7,361	\$9,435	\$69,450,055	\$72,137,800.00	\$74,202,560.50	\$65,630,301.98	\$65,630,301.98	(\$6,507,498.03)	(\$8,572,258.53)	(\$3,819,753.03)	(\$3,819,753.03)
Molepske	71	Tomorrow River	935	\$9,396	\$8,785,196	\$9,163,000.00	\$9,425,267.50	\$8,321,500.00	\$8,321,500.00	(\$841,500.00)	(\$1,103,767.50)	(\$463,696.00)	(\$463,696.00)
Molepske	71	Tri-County Area	702	\$9,711	\$6,817,349	\$7,010,399.00	\$7,207,310.00	\$6,442,394.81	\$6,442,394.81	(\$568,004.20)	(\$764,915.20)	(\$374,954.20)	(\$374,954.20)
Molepske Total										(\$8,330,889.79)	(\$10,990,590.79)	(\$4,910,373.79)	(\$4,910,373.79)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Krug	72	Adams-Friendship Area	1,822	\$9,563	\$17,423,888	\$17,924,938.00	\$18,436,009.00	\$16,465,574.16	\$16,465,574.16	(\$1,459,363.84)	(\$1,970,434.84)	(\$958,313.84)	(\$958,313.84)
Krug	72	Nekoosa	1,338	\$9,491	\$12,699,368	\$13,112,400.00	\$13,487,709.00	\$12,000,902.76	\$12,000,902.76	(\$1,111,497.24)	(\$1,486,806.24)	(\$698,465.24)	(\$698,465.24)
Krug	72	Port Edwards	461	\$11,065	\$5,100,763	\$5,227,538.00	\$5,356,848.50	\$4,820,221.04	\$4,820,221.04	(\$407,316.97)	(\$536,627.47)	(\$280,541.97)	(\$280,541.97)
Krug	72	Westfield	1,257	\$9,391	\$11,805,114	\$12,318,600.00	\$12,671,188.50	\$11,187,300.00	\$11,187,300.00	(\$1,131,300.00)	(\$1,483,888.50)	(\$617,814.00)	(\$617,814.00)
Krug	72	Wisconsin Rapids	5,518	\$9,591	\$52,921,910	\$54,439,360.00	\$55,987,159.00	\$50,011,204.95	\$50,011,204.95	(\$4,428,155.05)	(\$5,975,954.05)	(\$2,910,705.05)	(\$2,910,705.05)
Krug Total										(\$8,537,633.10)	(\$11,453,711.10)	(\$5,465,840.10)	(\$5,465,840.10)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Milroy	73	Maple	1,410	\$9,416	\$13,276,459	\$13,818,000.00	\$14,213,505.00	\$12,549,000.00	\$12,549,000.00	(\$1,269,000.00)	(\$1,664,505.00)	(\$727,459.00)	(\$727,459.00)
Milroy	73	Northwood	404	\$10,893	\$4,400,738	\$4,511,838.00	\$4,625,160.00	\$4,158,697.41	\$4,158,697.41	(\$353,140.59)	(\$466,462.59)	(\$242,040.59)	(\$242,040.59)
Milroy	73	Solon Springs	326	\$10,558	\$3,441,973	\$3,531,623.00	\$3,623,066.00	\$3,252,664.49	\$3,252,664.49	(\$278,958.52)	(\$370,401.52)	(\$189,308.52)	(\$189,308.52)
Milroy	73	Superior	4,814	\$9,562	\$46,033,556	\$47,357,406.00	\$48,707,733.00	\$43,501,710.42	\$43,501,710.42	(\$3,855,695.58)	(\$5,206,022.58)	(\$2,531,845.58)	(\$2,531,845.58)
Milroy Total										(\$5,756,794.69)	(\$7,707,391.69)	(\$3,690,653.69)	(\$3,690,653.69)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Bewley	74	Ashland	2,193	\$9,741	\$21,361,367	\$21,964,442.00	\$22,579,578.50	\$20,186,491.82	\$20,186,491.82	(\$1,777,950.19)	(\$2,393,086.69)	(\$1,174,875.19)	(\$1,174,875.19)
Bewley	74	Bayfield	429	\$13,864	\$5,947,783	\$6,065,758.00	\$6,186,092.50	\$5,620,654.94	\$5,620,654.94	(\$445,103.07)	(\$565,437.57)	(\$327,128.07)	(\$327,128.07)
Bewley	74	Butternut	190	\$10,900	\$2,071,000	\$2,123,250.00	\$2,176,545.00	\$1,957,095.00	\$1,957,095.00	(\$166,155.00)	(\$219,450.00)	(\$113,905.00)	(\$113,905.00)
Bewley	74	Chequamegon	845	\$9,700	\$8,196,551	\$8,428,926.00	\$8,665,948.50	\$7,745,740.70	\$7,745,740.70	(\$683,185.31)	(\$920,207.81)	(\$450,810.31)	(\$450,810.31)
Bewley	74	Drummond Area	453	\$10,020	\$4,538,870	\$4,663,445.00	\$4,790,511.50	\$4,289,232.15	\$4,289,232.15	(\$374,212.85)	(\$501,279.35)	(\$249,637.85)	(\$249,637.85)
Bewley	74	Hayward Community	1,801	\$9,682	\$17,436,728	\$17,932,003.00	\$18,437,183.50	\$16,477,707.96	\$16,477,707.96	(\$1,454,295.04)	(\$1,959,475.54)	(\$959,020.04)	(\$959,020.04)
Bewley	74	Hurley	644	\$10,112	\$6,512,308	\$6,689,408.00	\$6,870,050.00	\$6,154,131.06	\$6,154,131.06	(\$535,276.94)	(\$715,918.94)	(\$358,176.94)	(\$358,176.94)
Bewley	74	Maple	1,410	\$9,416	\$13,276,459	\$13,818,000.00	\$14,213,505.00	\$12,549,000.00	\$12,549,000.00	(\$1,269,000.00)	(\$1,664,505.00)	(\$727,459.00)	(\$727,459.00)
Bewley	74	Mellen	288	\$10,693	\$3,079,584	\$3,158,784.00	\$3,239,568.00	\$2,910,206.88	\$2,910,206.88	(\$248,577.12)	(\$329,361.12)	(\$169,377.12)	(\$169,377.12)
Bewley	74	Mercer	156	\$10,253	\$1,599,515	\$1,642,415.00	\$1,686,173.00	\$1,511,541.68	\$1,511,541.68	(\$130,873.33)	(\$174,631.33)	(\$87,973.33)	(\$87,973.33)
Bewley	74	South Shore	197	\$12,373	\$2,437,562	\$2,491,737.00	\$2,546,995.50	\$2,303,496.09	\$2,303,496.09	(\$188,240.91)	(\$243,499.41)	(\$134,065.91)	(\$134,065.91)
Bewley	74	Washburn	533	\$10,718	\$5,712,482	\$5,859,057.00	\$6,008,563.50	\$5,398,295.49	\$5,398,295.49	(\$460,761.51)	(\$610,268.01)	(\$314,186.51)	(\$314,186.51)
Bewley	74	Winter	367	\$10,992	\$4,033,974	\$4,134,899.00	\$4,237,842.50	\$3,812,105.43	\$3,812,105.43	(\$322,793.57)	(\$425,737.07)	(\$221,868.57)	(\$221,868.57)
Bewley Total										(\$8,056,424.82)	(\$10,722,857.82)	(\$5,288,483.82)	(\$5,288,483.82)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Rivard	75	Barron Area	1,396	\$9,840	\$13,737,310	\$14,121,210.00	\$14,512,788.00	\$12,981,757.95	\$12,981,757.95	(\$1,139,452.05)	(\$1,531,030.05)	(\$755,552.05)	(\$755,552.05)
Rivard	75	Birchwood	262	\$11,179	\$2,928,796	\$3,000,846.00	\$3,074,337.00	\$2,767,712.22	\$2,767,712.22	(\$233,133.78)	(\$306,624.78)	(\$161,083.78)	(\$161,083.78)
Rivard	75	Cameron	860	\$9,635	\$8,285,782	\$8,522,282.00	\$8,763,512.00	\$7,830,063.99	\$7,830,063.99	(\$692,218.01)	(\$933,448.01)	(\$455,718.01)	(\$455,718.01)
Rivard	75	Chetek	845	\$9,700	\$8,196,551	\$8,428,926.00	\$8,665,948.50	\$7,745,740.70	\$7,745,740.70	(\$683,185.31)	(\$920,207.81)	(\$450,810.31)	(\$450,810.31)
Rivard	75	Cumberland	1,046	\$9,623	\$10,066,082	\$10,353,732.00	\$10,647,135.00	\$9,512,447.49	\$9,512,447.49	(\$841,284.51)	(\$1,134,687.51)	(\$553,634.51)	(\$553,634.51)
Rivard	75	Prairie Farm	322	\$9,939	\$3,200,345	\$3,288,895.00	\$3,379,216.00	\$3,024,326.03	\$3,024,326.03	(\$264,568.98)	(\$354,889.98)	(\$176,018.98)	(\$176,018.98)
Rivard	75	Rice Lake Area	2,377	\$10,134	\$24,087,543	\$24,741,218.00	\$25,407,966.50	\$22,762,728.14	\$22,762,728.14	(\$1,978,489.87)	(\$2,645,238.37)	(\$1,324,814.87)	(\$1,324,814.87)
Rivard	75	Shell Lake	601	\$10,499	\$6,310,134	\$6,475,409.00	\$6,643,989.50	\$5,963,076.63	\$5,963,076.63	(\$512,332.37)	(\$680,912.87)	(\$347,057.37)	(\$347,057.37)
Rivard	75	Spooner	1,331	\$9,415	\$12,531,964	\$13,043,800.00	\$13,417,145.50	\$11,845,900.00	\$11,845,900.00	(\$1,197,900.00)	(\$1,571,245.50)	(\$686,064.00)	(\$686,064.00)
Rivard	75	Turtle Lake	480	\$9,933	\$4,767,638	\$4,899,638.00	\$5,034,278.00	\$4,505,417.91	\$4,505,417.91	(\$394,220.09)	(\$528,860.09)	(\$262,220.09)	(\$262,220.09)
Rivard Total										(\$7,936,784.96)	(\$10,607,144.96)	(\$5,172,973.96)	(\$5,172,973.96)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Berceau	76	Madison Metropolitan	25,085	\$11,153	\$279,767,398	\$286,665,773.00	\$293,702,115.50	\$264,380,191.11	\$264,380,191.11	(\$22,285,581.89)	(\$29,321,924.39)	(\$15,387,206.89)	(\$15,387,206.89)
Berceau	79	Verona Area	4,628	\$11,099	\$51,367,329	\$52,640,029.00	\$53,938,183.00	\$48,542,125.91	\$48,542,125.91	(\$4,097,903.10)	(\$5,396,057.10)	(\$2,825,203.10)	(\$2,825,203.10)
Berceau Total										(\$26,383,484.99)	(\$34,717,981.49)	(\$18,212,409.99)	(\$18,212,409.99)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Hulsey	77	Madison Metropolitan	25,085	\$11,153	\$279,767,398	\$286,665,773.00	\$293,702,115.50	\$264,380,191.11	\$264,380,191.11	(\$22,285,581.89)	(\$29,321,924.39)	(\$15,387,206.89)	(\$15,387,206.89)
Hulsey	77	Middleton-Cross Plains Area	5,872	\$10,693	\$62,788,836	\$64,403,636.00	\$66,050,732.00	\$59,335,450.02	\$59,335,450.02	(\$5,068,185.98)	(\$6,715,281.98)	(\$3,453,385.98)	(\$3,453,385.98)
Hulsey Total										(\$27,353,767.87)	(\$36,037,206.37)	(\$18,840,592.87)	(\$18,840,592.87)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Pocan	78	Madison Metropolitan	25,085	\$11,153	\$279,767,398	\$286,665,773.00	\$293,702,115.50	\$264,380,191.11	\$264,380,191.11	(\$22,285,581.89)	(\$29,321,924.39)	(\$15,387,206.89)	(\$15,387,206.89)
Pocan Total										(\$22,285,581.89)	(\$29,321,924.39)	(\$15,387,206.89)	(\$15,387,206.89)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Pope-Roberts	79	Middleton-Cross Plains Area	5,872	\$10,693	\$62,788,836	\$64,403,636.00	\$66,050,732.00	\$59,335,450.02	\$59,335,450.02	(\$5,068,185.98)	(\$6,715,281.98)	(\$3,453,385.98)	(\$3,453,385.98)
Pope-Roberts	79	Mount Horeb Area	2,300	\$9,414	\$21,651,234	\$22,540,000.00	\$23,185,150.00	\$20,470,000.00	\$20,470,000.00	(\$2,070,000.00)	(\$2,715,150.00)	(\$1,181,234.00)	(\$1,181,234.00)
Pope-Roberts	79	Sun Prairie Area	6,572	\$10,437	\$68,588,914	\$70,396,214.00	\$72,239,660.00	\$64,816,523.73	\$64,816,523.73	(\$5,579,690.27)	(\$7,423,136.27)	(\$3,772,390.27)	(\$3,772,390.27)
Pope-Roberts	79	Verona Area	4,628	\$11,099	\$51,367,329	\$52,640,029.00	\$53,938,183.00	\$48,542,125.91	\$48,542,125.91	(\$4,097,903.10)	(\$5,396,057.10)	(\$2,825,203.10)	(\$2,825,203.10)
Pope-Roberts Total										(\$16,815,779.35)	(\$22,249,625.35)	(\$11,232,213.35)	(\$11,232,213.35)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Ringhand	80	Albany	448	\$9,671	\$4,332,586	\$4,455,786.00	\$4,581,450.00	\$4,094,293.77	\$4,094,293.77	(\$361,492.23)	(\$487,156.23)	(\$238,292.23)	(\$238,292.23)
Ringhand	80	Belleville	984	\$9,858	\$9,699,893	\$9,970,493.00	\$10,246,505.00	\$9,166,398.89	\$9,166,398.89	(\$804,094.12)	(\$1,080,106.12)	(\$533,494.12)	(\$533,494.12)
Ringhand	80	Black Hawk	420	\$10,396	\$4,366,328	\$4,481,828.00	\$4,599,638.00	\$4,126,179.96	\$4,126,179.96	(\$355,648.04)	(\$473,458.04)	(\$240,148.04)	(\$240,148.04)
Ringhand	80	Brodhead	1,114	\$9,400	\$10,471,600	\$10,917,200.00	\$11,229,677.00	\$9,914,600.00	\$9,914,600.00	(\$1,002,600.00)	(\$1,315,077.00)	(\$557,000.00)	(\$557,000.00)
Ringhand	80	Evansville Community	1,816	\$10,039	\$18,229,999	\$18,729,399.00	\$19,238,787.00	\$17,227,349.06	\$17,227,349.06	(\$1,502,049.95)	(\$2,011,437.95)	(\$1,002,649.95)	(\$1,002,649.95)
Ringhand	80	Juda	278	\$10,030	\$2,788,443	\$2,864,893.00	\$2,942,872.00	\$2,635,078.64	\$2,635,078.64	(\$229,814.37)	(\$307,793.37)	(\$153,364.37)	(\$153,364.37)
Ringhand	80	Monroe	2,513	\$10,318	\$25,929,084	\$26,620,159.00	\$27,325,055.50	\$24,502,984.38	\$24,502,984.38	(\$2,117,174.62)	(\$2,822,071.12)	(\$1,426,099.62)	(\$1,426,099.62)
Ringhand	80	Monticello	403	\$10,060	\$4,054,019	\$4,164,844.00	\$4,277,885.50	\$3,831,047.96	\$3,831,047.96	(\$333,796.05)	(\$446,837.55)	(\$222,971.05)	(\$222,971.05)
Ringhand	80	New Glarus	837	\$10,739	\$8,988,317	\$9,218,492.00	\$9,453,270.50	\$8,493,959.57	\$8,493,959.57	(\$724,532.44)	(\$959,310.94)	(\$494,357.44)	(\$494,357.44)
Ringhand	80	Oregon	3,619	\$10,664	\$38,592,779	\$39,588,004.00	\$40,603,133.50	\$36,470,176.16	\$36,470,176.16	(\$3,117,827.85)	(\$4,132,957.35)	(\$2,122,602.85)	(\$2,122,602.85)
Ringhand Total										(\$10,549,029.64)	(\$14,036,205.64)	(\$6,990,979.64)	(\$6,990,979.64)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Roys	81	Madison Metropolitan	25,085	\$11,153	\$279,767,398	\$286,665,773.00	\$293,702,115.50	\$264,380,191.11	\$264,380,191.11	(\$22,285,581.89)	(\$29,321,924.39)	(\$15,387,206.89)	(\$15,387,206.89)
Roys	81	Middleton-Cross Plains Area	5,872	\$10,693	\$62,788,836	\$64,403,636.00	\$66,050,732.00	\$59,335,450.02	\$59,335,450.02	(\$5,068,185.98)	(\$6,715,281.98)	(\$3,453,385.98)	(\$3,453,385.98)
Roys	81	Waunakee Community	3,590	\$10,285	\$36,922,684	\$37,909,934.00	\$38,916,929.00	\$34,891,936.38	\$34,891,936.38	(\$3,017,997.62)	(\$4,024,992.62)	(\$2,030,747.62)	(\$2,030,747.62)
Roys	81	Wisconsin Heights	882	\$10,002	\$8,821,358	\$9,063,908.00	\$9,311,309.00	\$8,336,183.31	\$8,336,183.31	(\$727,724.69)	(\$975,125.69)	(\$485,174.69)	(\$485,174.69)
Roys Total										(\$31,099,490.18)	(\$41,037,324.68)	(\$21,356,515.18)	(\$21,356,515.18)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Stone	82	Franklin Public	3,863	\$11,576	\$44,719,171	\$45,781,496.00	\$46,865,067.50	\$42,259,616.60	\$42,259,616.60	(\$3,521,879.41)	(\$4,605,450.91)	(\$2,459,554.41)	(\$2,459,554.41)
Stone	82	Greendale	2,177	\$11,432	\$24,886,531	\$25,485,206.00	\$26,095,854.50	\$23,517,771.80	\$23,517,771.80	(\$1,967,434.21)	(\$2,578,082.71)	(\$1,368,759.21)	(\$1,368,759.21)
Stone Total										(\$5,489,313.61)	(\$7,183,533.61)	(\$3,828,313.61)	(\$3,828,313.61)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Vacant83	83	East Troy Community	1,749	\$9,717	\$16,994,508	\$17,475,483.00	\$17,966,077.50	\$16,059,810.06	\$16,059,810.06	(\$1,415,672.94)	(\$1,906,267.44)	(\$934,697.94)	(\$934,697.94)
Vacant83	83	Mukwonago	4,953	\$9,400	\$46,558,200	\$48,539,400.00	\$49,928,716.50	\$44,081,700.00	\$44,081,700.00	(\$4,457,700.00)	(\$5,847,016.50)	(\$2,476,500.00)	(\$2,476,500.00)
Vacant83	83	Muskego-Norway	4,848	\$10,461	\$50,715,752	\$52,048,952.00	\$53,408,816.00	\$47,926,385.64	\$47,926,385.64	(\$4,122,566.36)	(\$5,482,430.36)	(\$2,789,366.36)	(\$2,789,366.36)
Vacant83	83	Norris	61	\$7,898	\$481,795	\$597,800.00	\$614,910.50	\$542,900.00	\$542,900.00	(\$54,900.00)	(\$72,010.50)	\$61,105.00	\$61,105.00
Vacant83	83	Washington-Caldwell	229	\$11,277	\$2,582,438	\$2,645,413.00	\$2,709,647.50	\$2,440,403.91	\$2,440,403.91	(\$205,009.09)	(\$269,243.59)	(\$142,034.09)	(\$142,034.09)
Vacant83	83	Waterford Graded J1	1,584	\$10,434	\$16,527,659	\$16,963,259.00	\$17,407,571.00	\$15,618,637.76	\$15,618,637.76	(\$1,344,621.25)	(\$1,788,933.25)	(\$909,021.25)	(\$909,021.25)
Vacant83	83	Waterford UHS	1,086	\$11,174	\$12,135,193	\$12,433,843.00	\$12,738,466.00	\$11,467,757.39	\$11,467,757.39	(\$966,085.62)	(\$1,270,708.62)	(\$667,435.62)	(\$667,435.62)
Vacant83 Total										(\$12,566,555.25)	(\$16,636,610.25)	(\$7,857,950.25)	(\$7,857,950.25)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Kuglitsch	84	New Berlin	4,625	\$11,546	\$53,399,084	\$54,670,959.00	\$55,968,271.50	\$50,462,134.38	\$50,462,134.38	(\$4,208,824.62)	(\$5,506,137.12)	(\$2,936,949.62)	(\$2,936,949.62)
Kuglitsch	84	Waukesha	13,068	\$10,161	\$132,786,439	\$136,380,139.00	\$140,045,713.00	\$125,483,184.86	\$125,483,184.86	(\$10,896,954.15)	(\$14,562,528.15)	(\$7,303,254.15)	(\$7,303,254.15)
Kuglitsch	84	West Allis	8,103	\$10,170	\$82,409,096	\$84,637,421.00	\$86,910,312.50	\$77,876,595.72	\$77,876,595.72	(\$6,760,825.28)	(\$9,033,716.78)	(\$4,532,500.28)	(\$4,532,500.28)
Kuglitsch	84	Whitnall	2,176	\$11,036	\$24,014,520	\$24,612,920.00	\$25,223,288.00	\$22,693,721.40	\$22,693,721.40	(\$1,919,198.60)	(\$2,529,566.60)	(\$1,320,798.60)	(\$1,320,798.60)
Kuglitsch Total										(\$23,785,802.65)	(\$31,631,948.65)	(\$16,093,502.65)	(\$16,093,502.65)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Seidel	85	Antigo	2,582	\$9,602	\$24,793,010	\$25,503,060.00	\$26,227,311.00	\$23,429,394.45	\$23,429,394.45	(\$2,073,665.55)	(\$2,797,916.55)	(\$1,363,615.55)	(\$1,363,615.55)
Seidel	85	D C Everest Area	5,724	\$10,364	\$59,321,641	\$60,895,741.00	\$62,501,323.00	\$56,058,950.75	\$56,058,950.75	(\$4,836,790.26)	(\$6,442,372.26)	(\$3,262,690.26)	(\$3,262,690.26)
Seidel	85	Wausau	8,362	\$10,517	\$87,945,288	\$90,244,838.00	\$92,590,379.00	\$83,108,297.16	\$83,108,297.16	(\$7,136,540.84)	(\$9,482,081.84)	(\$4,836,990.84)	(\$4,836,990.84)
Seidel	85	Wittenberg-Birnamwood	1,248	\$9,424	\$11,761,119	\$12,230,400.00	\$12,580,464.00	\$11,114,257.46	\$11,114,257.46	(\$1,116,142.55)	(\$1,466,206.55)	(\$646,861.55)	(\$646,861.55)
Seidel Total										(\$15,163,139.19)	(\$20,188,577.19)	(\$10,110,158.19)	(\$10,110,158.19)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Petrowski	86	D C Everest Area	5,724	\$10,364	\$59,321,641	\$60,895,741.00	\$62,501,323.00	\$56,058,950.75	\$56,058,950.75	(\$4,836,790.26)	(\$6,442,372.26)	(\$3,262,690.26)	(\$3,262,690.26)
Petrowski	86	Marathon City	646	\$10,010	\$6,466,760	\$6,644,410.00	\$6,825,613.00	\$6,111,088.20	\$6,111,088.20	(\$533,321.80)	(\$714,524.80)	(\$355,671.80)	(\$355,671.80)
Petrowski	86	Mosinee	2,145	\$10,081	\$21,623,946	\$22,213,821.00	\$22,815,493.50	\$20,434,628.97	\$20,434,628.97	(\$1,779,192.03)	(\$2,380,864.53)	(\$1,189,317.03)	(\$1,189,317.03)
Petrowski	86	Rosholt	623	\$9,679	\$6,029,785	\$6,201,110.00	\$6,375,861.50	\$5,698,146.83	\$5,698,146.83	(\$502,963.18)	(\$677,714.68)	(\$331,638.18)	(\$331,638.18)
Petrowski	86	Tigerton	309	\$10,262	\$3,170,841	\$3,255,816.00	\$3,342,490.50	\$2,996,444.75	\$2,996,444.75	(\$259,371.26)	(\$346,045.76)	(\$174,396.26)	(\$174,396.26)
Petrowski Total										(\$7,911,638.52)	(\$10,561,522.02)	(\$5,313,713.52)	(\$5,313,713.52)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Williams	87	Bruce	553	\$9,617	\$5,318,350	\$5,470,425.00	\$5,625,541.50	\$5,025,840.75	\$5,025,840.75	(\$444,584.25)	(\$599,700.75)	(\$292,509.25)	(\$292,509.25)
Williams	87	Chequamegon	845	\$9,700	\$8,196,551	\$8,428,926.00	\$8,665,948.50	\$7,745,740.70	\$7,745,740.70	(\$683,185.31)	(\$920,207.81)	(\$450,810.31)	(\$450,810.31)
Williams	87	Flambeau	652	\$10,670	\$6,956,602	\$7,135,902.00	\$7,318,788.00	\$6,573,988.89	\$6,573,988.89	(\$561,913.11)	(\$744,799.11)	(\$382,613.11)	(\$382,613.11)
Williams	87	Gilman	468	\$9,529	\$4,459,637	\$4,588,337.00	\$4,719,611.00	\$4,214,356.97	\$4,214,356.97	(\$373,980.04)	(\$505,254.04)	(\$245,280.04)	(\$245,280.04)
Williams	87	Ladysmith-Hawkins	928	\$10,687	\$9,917,201	\$10,172,401.00	\$10,432,705.00	\$9,371,754.95	\$9,371,754.95	(\$800,646.06)	(\$1,060,950.06)	(\$545,446.06)	(\$545,446.06)
Williams	87	Medford Area	2,059	\$9,406	\$19,366,225	\$20,178,200.00	\$20,755,749.50	\$18,325,100.00	\$18,325,100.00	(\$1,853,100.00)	(\$2,430,649.50)	(\$1,041,125.00)	(\$1,041,125.00)
Williams	87	Phillips	883	\$9,409	\$8,308,575	\$8,653,400.00	\$8,901,081.50	\$7,858,700.00	\$7,858,700.00	(\$794,700.00)	(\$1,042,381.50)	(\$449,875.00)	(\$449,875.00)
Williams	87	Prentice	444	\$10,296	\$4,571,215	\$4,693,315.00	\$4,817,857.00	\$4,319,798.18	\$4,319,798.18	(\$373,516.83)	(\$498,058.83)	(\$251,416.83)	(\$251,416.83)
Williams	87	Rib Lake	479	\$9,415	\$4,509,785	\$4,694,200.00	\$4,828,559.50	\$4,263,100.00	\$4,263,100.00	(\$431,100.00)	(\$565,459.50)	(\$246,685.00)	(\$246,685.00)
Williams	87	Weyerhaeuser Area	958	\$9,512	\$9,112,314	\$9,388,400.00	\$9,657,119.00	\$8,611,136.73	\$8,611,136.73	(\$777,263.27)	(\$1,045,982.27)	(\$501,177.27)	(\$501,177.27)
Williams Total										(\$7,093,988.85)	(\$9,413,443.35)	(\$4,406,937.85)	(\$4,406,937.85)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Klenke	88	Green Bay Area	20,512	\$9,712	\$199,215,111	\$204,855,911.00	\$210,609,527.00	\$188,258,279.90	\$188,258,279.90	(\$16,597,631.11)	(\$22,351,247.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)
Klenke Total										(\$16,597,631.11)	(\$22,351,247.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Nygren	89	Coleman	730	\$9,423	\$6,879,104	\$7,154,000.00	\$7,358,765.00	\$6,500,753.28	\$6,500,753.28	(\$653,246.72)	(\$858,011.72)	(\$378,350.72)	(\$378,350.72)
Nygren	89	Howard-Suamico	5,420	\$9,414	\$51,024,778	\$53,116,000.00	\$54,636,310.00	\$48,238,000.00	\$48,238,000.00	(\$4,878,000.00)	(\$6,398,310.00)	(\$2,786,778.00)	(\$2,786,778.00)
Nygren	89	Lena	424	\$9,737	\$4,128,573	\$4,245,173.00	\$4,364,105.00	\$3,901,501.49	\$3,901,501.49	(\$343,671.52)	(\$462,603.52)	(\$227,071.52)	(\$227,071.52)
Nygren	89	Marinette	2,213	\$9,414	\$20,833,337	\$21,687,400.00	\$22,308,146.50	\$19,695,700.00	\$19,695,700.00	(\$1,991,700.00)	(\$2,612,446.50)	(\$1,137,637.00)	(\$1,137,637.00)
Nygren	89	Oconto	1,178	\$9,452	\$11,134,273	\$11,544,400.00	\$11,874,829.00	\$10,521,887.99	\$10,521,887.99	(\$1,022,512.02)	(\$1,352,941.02)	(\$612,385.02)	(\$612,385.02)
Nygren	89	Oconto Falls	1,841	\$9,528	\$17,541,760	\$18,048,035.00	\$18,564,435.50	\$16,576,963.20	\$16,576,963.20	(\$1,471,071.80)	(\$1,987,472.30)	(\$964,796.80)	(\$964,796.80)
Nygren	89	Peshtigo	1,144	\$9,424	\$10,780,862	\$11,211,200.00	\$11,532,092.00	\$10,187,914.59	\$10,187,914.59	(\$1,023,285.41)	(\$1,344,177.41)	(\$592,947.41)	(\$592,947.41)
Nygren	89	Pulaski Community	3,746	\$9,683	\$36,271,843	\$37,301,993.00	\$38,352,746.00	\$34,276,891.64	\$34,276,891.64	(\$3,025,101.37)	(\$4,075,854.37)	(\$1,994,951.37)	(\$1,994,951.37)
Nygren Total										(\$14,408,588.83)	(\$19,091,816.83)	(\$8,694,917.83)	(\$8,694,917.83)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Van Roy	90	Green Bay Area	20,512	\$9,712	\$199,215,111	\$204,855,911.00	\$210,609,527.00	\$188,258,279.90	\$188,258,279.90	(\$16,597,631.11)	(\$22,351,247.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)	(\$10,956,831.11)
Van Roy	90	Howard-Suamico	5,420	\$9,414	\$51,024,778	\$53,116,000.00	\$54,636,310.00	\$48,238,000.00	\$48,238,000.00	(\$4,878,000.00)	(\$6,398,310.00)	(\$2,786,778.00)	(\$2,786,778.00)
Van Roy Total										(\$21,475,631.11)	(\$28,749,557.11)	(\$13,743,609.11)	(\$13,743,609.11)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Danou	91	Alma	257	\$9,988	\$2,566,946	\$2,637,621.00	\$2,709,709.50	\$2,425,763.97	\$2,425,763.97	(\$211,857.03)	(\$283,945.53)	(\$141,182.03)	(\$141,182.03)
Danou	91	Arcadia	1,012	\$10,620	\$10,747,258	\$11,025,558.00	\$11,309,424.00	\$10,156,158.81	\$10,156,158.81	(\$869,399.19)	(\$1,153,265.19)	(\$591,099.19)	(\$591,099.19)
Danou	91	Blair-Taylor	662	\$9,411	\$6,230,367	\$6,487,600.00	\$6,673,291.00	\$5,891,800.00	\$5,891,800.00	(\$595,800.00)	(\$781,491.00)	(\$338,567.00)	(\$338,567.00)
Danou	91	Cochrane-Fountain City	650	\$9,596	\$6,237,237	\$6,415,987.00	\$6,598,312.00	\$5,894,188.97	\$5,894,188.97	(\$521,798.04)	(\$704,123.04)	(\$343,048.04)	(\$343,048.04)
Danou	91	Durand	1,012	\$10,188	\$10,309,797	\$10,588,097.00	\$10,871,963.00	\$9,742,758.17	\$9,742,758.17	(\$845,338.83)	(\$1,129,204.84)	(\$567,038.83)	(\$567,038.83)
Danou	91	Eleva-Strum	611	\$9,948	\$6,078,246	\$6,246,271.00	\$6,417,656.50	\$5,743,942.47	\$5,743,942.47	(\$502,328.53)	(\$673,714.03)	(\$334,303.53)	(\$334,303.53)
Danou	91	Ellsworth Community	1,692	\$9,401	\$15,906,204	\$16,581,600.00	\$17,056,206.00	\$15,058,800.00	\$15,058,800.00	(\$1,522,800.00)	(\$1,997,406.00)	(\$847,404.00)	(\$847,404.00)
Danou	91	Galesville-Ettrick- Trempealea	1,441	\$9,400	\$13,545,400	\$14,121,800.00	\$14,526,000.50	\$12,824,900.00	\$12,824,900.00	(\$1,296,900.00)	(\$1,701,100.50)	(\$720,500.00)	(\$720,500.00)
Danou	91	Gilmanton	203	\$10,457	\$2,122,814	\$2,178,639.00	\$2,235,580.50	\$2,006,059.23	\$2,006,059.23	(\$172,579.77)	(\$229,521.27)	(\$116,754.77)	(\$116,754.77)
Danou	91	Independence	361	\$10,324	\$3,726,910	\$3,826,185.00	\$3,927,445.50	\$3,521,929.95	\$3,521,929.95	(\$304,255.05)	(\$405,515.55)	(\$204,980.05)	(\$204,980.05)
Danou	91	Mondovi	1,050	\$9,397	\$9,866,750	\$10,290,000.00	\$10,584,525.00	\$9,345,000.00	\$9,345,000.00	(\$945,000.00)	(\$1,239,525.00)	(\$521,750.00)	(\$521,750.00)
Danou	91	Osseo-Fairchild	995	\$9,719	\$9,670,146	\$9,943,771.00	\$10,222,868.50	\$9,138,287.97	\$9,138,287.97	(\$805,483.03)	(\$1,084,580.53)	(\$531,858.03)	(\$531,858.03)
Danou	91	Pepin Area	254	\$13,890	\$3,527,956	\$3,597,806.00	\$3,669,053.00	\$3,333,918.42	\$3,333,918.42	(\$263,887.58)	(\$335,134.58)	(\$194,037.58)	(\$194,037.58)
Danou	91	Plum City	291	\$10,346	\$3,010,576	\$3,090,601.00	\$3,172,226.50	\$2,844,994.32	\$2,844,994.32	(\$245,606.68)	(\$327,232.18)	(\$165,581.68)	(\$165,581.68)
Danou	91	Whitehall	767	\$9,893	\$7,587,921	\$7,798,846.00	\$8,013,989.50	\$7,170,585.35	\$7,170,585.35	(\$628,260.66)	(\$843,404.16)	(\$417,335.66)	(\$417,335.66)
Danou Total										(\$9,731,294.39)	(\$12,889,163.39)	(\$6,035,440.39)	(\$6,035,440.39)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Radcliffe	92	Alma Center	586	\$9,953	\$5,832,327	\$5,993,477.00	\$6,157,850.00	\$5,511,549.02	\$5,511,549.02	(\$481,927.99)	(\$646,300.99)	(\$320,777.99)	(\$320,777.99)
Radcliffe	92	Augusta	629	\$10,881	\$6,843,903	\$7,016,878.00	\$7,193,312.50	\$6,467,488.34	\$6,467,488.34	(\$549,389.67)	(\$725,824.17)	(\$376,414.67)	(\$376,414.67)
Radcliffe	92	Black River Falls	1,825	\$9,452	\$17,250,298	\$17,885,000.00	\$18,396,912.50	\$16,301,531.61	\$16,301,531.61	(\$1,583,468.39)	(\$2,095,380.89)	(\$948,766.39)	(\$948,766.39)
Radcliffe	92	Melrose-Mindoro	741	\$10,394	\$7,702,141	\$7,905,916.00	\$8,113,766.50	\$7,278,523.25	\$7,278,523.25	(\$627,392.76)	(\$835,243.26)	(\$423,617.76)	(\$423,617.76)
Radcliffe	92	Osseo-Fairchild	995	\$9,719	\$9,670,146	\$9,943,771.00	\$10,222,868.50	\$9,138,287.97	\$9,138,287.97	(\$805,483.03)	(\$1,084,580.53)	(\$531,858.03)	(\$531,858.03)
Radcliffe	92	Sparta Area	2,611	\$9,453	\$24,681,015	\$25,587,800.00	\$26,320,185.50	\$23,323,559.18	\$23,323,559.18	(\$2,264,240.83)	(\$2,996,626.33)	(\$1,357,455.83)	(\$1,357,455.83)
Radcliffe	92	Tomah Area	3,130	\$9,503	\$29,745,358	\$30,674,000.00	\$31,551,965.00	\$28,109,363.31	\$28,109,363.31	(\$2,564,636.69)	(\$3,442,601.69)	(\$1,635,994.69)	(\$1,635,994.69)
Radcliffe Total										(\$8,876,539.34)	(\$11,826,557.84)	(\$5,594,885.34)	(\$5,594,885.34)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Petryk	93	Altoona	1,441	\$9,594	\$13,824,446	\$14,220,721.00	\$14,624,921.50	\$13,064,101.47	\$13,064,101.47	(\$1,156,619.53)	(\$1,560,820.03)	(\$760,344.53)	(\$760,344.53)
Petryk	93	Eau Claire Area	10,594	\$10,167	\$107,708,245	\$110,621,595.00	\$113,593,212.00	\$101,784,291.53	\$101,784,291.53	(\$8,837,303.47)	(\$11,808,920.48)	(\$5,923,953.47)	(\$5,923,953.47)
Petryk	93	Menomonie Area	3,273	\$10,011	\$32,765,973	\$33,666,048.00	\$34,584,124.50	\$30,963,844.49	\$30,963,844.49	(\$2,702,203.52)	(\$3,620,280.02)	(\$1,802,128.52)	(\$1,802,128.52)
Petryk Total										(\$12,696,126.52)	(\$16,990,020.52)	(\$8,486,426.52)	(\$8,486,426.52)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Vacant 94	94	Bangor	612	\$9,446	\$5,780,726	\$5,997,600.00	\$6,169,266.00	\$5,462,786.07	\$5,462,786.07	(\$534,813.93)	(\$706,479.93)	(\$317,939.93)	(\$317,939.93)
Vacant94	94	Holmen	3,683	\$10,479	\$38,593,316	\$39,606,141.00	\$40,639,222.50	\$36,470,683.62	\$36,470,683.62	(\$3,135,457.38)	(\$4,168,538.88)	(\$2,122,632.38)	(\$2,122,632.38)
Vacant94	94	La Crosse	6,793	\$11,165	\$75,844,014	\$77,712,089.00	\$79,617,525.50	\$71,672,593.23	\$71,672,593.23	(\$6,039,495.77)	(\$7,944,932.27)	(\$4,171,420.77)	(\$4,171,420.77)
Vacant94	94	Melrose-Mindoro	741	\$10,394	\$7,702,141	\$7,905,916.00	\$8,113,766.50	\$7,278,523.25	\$7,278,523.25	(\$627,392.76)	(\$835,243.26)	(\$423,617.76)	(\$423,617.76)
Vacant94	94	Onalaska	2,861	\$9,473	\$27,101,591	\$28,037,800.00	\$28,840,310.50	\$25,611,003.50	\$25,611,003.50	(\$2,426,796.51)	(\$3,229,307.01)	(\$1,490,587.51)	(\$1,490,587.51)
Vacant94	94	Sparta Area	2,611	\$9,453	\$24,681,015	\$25,587,800.00	\$26,320,185.50	\$23,323,559.18	\$23,323,559.18	(\$2,264,240.83)	(\$2,996,626.33)	(\$1,357,455.83)	(\$1,357,455.83)
Vacant94	94	West Salem	1,737	\$9,586	\$16,650,572	\$17,128,247.00	\$17,615,475.50	\$15,734,790.54	\$15,734,790.54	(\$1,393,456.46)	(\$1,880,684.96)	(\$915,781.46)	(\$915,781.46)
Vacant94 Total										(\$16,421,653.63)	(\$21,761,812.63)	(\$10,799,435.63)	(\$10,799,435.63)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Schilling	95	La Crosse	6,793	\$11,165	\$75,844,014	\$77,712,089.00	\$79,617,525.50	\$71,672,593.23	\$71,672,593.23	(\$6,039,495.77)	(\$7,944,932.27)	(\$4,171,420.77)	(\$4,171,420.77)
Schilling Total										(\$6,039,495.77)	(\$7,944,932.27)	(\$4,171,420.77)	(\$4,171,420.77)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Nerison	96	Cashton	566	\$9,648	\$5,460,660	\$5,616,310.00	\$5,775,073.00	\$5,160,323.70	\$5,160,323.70	(\$455,986.30)	(\$614,749.30)	(\$300,336.30)	(\$300,336.30)
Nerison	96	De Soto Area	563	\$10,851	\$6,109,231	\$6,264,056.00	\$6,421,977.50	\$5,773,223.30	\$5,773,223.30	(\$490,832.71)	(\$648,754.21)	(\$336,007.71)	(\$336,007.71)
Nerison	96	Hillsboro	542	\$9,413	\$5,101,954	\$5,311,600.00	\$5,463,631.00	\$4,823,800.00	\$4,823,800.00	(\$487,800.00)	(\$639,831.00)	(\$278,154.00)	(\$278,154.00)
Nerison	96	Kickapoo Area	423	\$9,975	\$4,219,566	\$4,335,891.00	\$4,454,542.50	\$3,987,489.87	\$3,987,489.87	(\$348,401.13)	(\$467,052.63)	(\$232,076.13)	(\$232,076.13)
Nerison	96	La Farge	245	\$11,605	\$2,843,302	\$2,910,677.00	\$2,979,399.50	\$2,686,920.39	\$2,686,920.39	(\$223,756.61)	(\$292,479.11)	(\$156,381.61)	(\$156,381.61)
Nerison	96	North Crawford	469	\$10,388	\$4,872,006	\$5,000,981.00	\$5,132,535.50	\$4,604,045.67	\$4,604,045.67	(\$396,935.33)	(\$528,489.83)	(\$267,960.33)	(\$267,960.33)
Nerison	96	Norwalk-Ontario-Wilton	679	\$10,174	\$6,908,345	\$7,095,070.00	\$7,285,529.50	\$6,528,386.03	\$6,528,386.03	(\$566,683.98)	(\$757,143.48)	(\$379,958.98)	(\$379,958.98)
Nerison	96	Prairie du Chien Area	1,202	\$9,433	\$11,338,033	\$11,779,600.00	\$12,116,761.00	\$10,714,441.19	\$10,714,441.19	(\$1,065,158.82)	(\$1,402,319.82)	(\$623,591.81)	(\$623,591.81)
Nerison	96	Richland	1,379	\$9,629	\$13,278,281	\$13,657,506.00	\$14,044,315.50	\$12,547,975.55	\$12,547,975.55	(\$1,109,530.46)	(\$1,496,339.96)	(\$730,305.45)	(\$730,305.45)
Nerison	96	Seneca	265	\$12,362	\$3,275,800	\$3,348,675.00	\$3,423,007.50	\$3,095,631.00	\$3,095,631.00	(\$253,044.00)	(\$327,376.50)	(\$180,169.00)	(\$180,169.00)
Nerison	96	Viroqua Area	1,149	\$9,667	\$11,106,874	\$11,422,849.00	\$11,745,143.50	\$10,495,995.93	\$10,495,995.93	(\$926,853.07)	(\$1,249,147.57)	(\$610,878.07)	(\$610,878.07)
Nerison	96	Wauzeka-Steuben	330	\$11,819	\$3,900,359	\$3,991,109.00	\$4,083,674.00	\$3,685,839.26	\$3,685,839.26	(\$305,269.75)	(\$397,834.75)	(\$214,519.75)	(\$214,519.75)
Nerison	96	Westby Area	1,150	\$9,609	\$11,050,891	\$11,367,141.00	\$11,689,716.00	\$10,443,092.00	\$10,443,092.00	(\$924,049.01)	(\$1,246,624.01)	(\$607,799.01)	(\$607,799.01)
Nerison Total										(\$7,554,301.14)	(\$10,068,142.14)	(\$4,918,138.14)	(\$4,918,138.14)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Kramer	97	Waukesha	13,068	\$10,161	\$132,786,439	\$136,380,139.00	\$140,045,713.00	\$125,483,184.86	\$125,483,184.86	(\$10,896,954.15)	(\$14,562,528.15)	(\$7,303,254.15)	(\$7,303,254.15)
Kramer Total										(\$10,896,954.15)	(\$14,562,528.15)	(\$7,303,254.15)	(\$7,303,254.15)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Farrow	98	Elmbrook	6,538	\$11,764	\$76,910,996	\$78,708,946.00	\$80,542,855.00	\$72,680,891.22	\$72,680,891.22	(\$6,028,054.78)	(\$7,861,963.78)	(\$4,230,104.78)	(\$4,230,104.78)
Farrow	98	Pewaukee	2,266	\$10,782	\$24,432,364	\$25,055,514.00	\$25,691,127.00	\$23,088,583.98	\$23,088,583.98	(\$1,966,930.02)	(\$2,602,543.02)	(\$1,343,780.02)	(\$1,343,780.02)
Farrow	98	Richmond	479	\$11,063	\$5,299,325	\$5,431,050.00	\$5,565,409.50	\$5,007,862.13	\$5,007,862.13	(\$423,187.88)	(\$557,547.38)	(\$291,462.88)	(\$291,462.88)
Farrow	98	Waukesha	13,068	\$10,161	\$132,786,439	\$136,380,139.00	\$140,045,713.00	\$125,483,184.86	\$125,483,184.86	(\$10,896,954.15)	(\$14,562,528.15)	(\$7,303,254.15)	(\$7,303,254.15)
Farrow Total										(\$19,315,126.82)	(\$25,584,582.32)	(\$13,168,601.82)	(\$13,168,601.82)

Comparison of Revenue Limits Changes: Current Law and AB 40/SB 27 by Assembly District

Representative	AD	School District	2010-11 Base Year			Project Revenue Limts under Current Law		Project Revenue Limts under AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Current Law and AB 40/SB 27		Difference Between Base Year and AB 40/SB 27	
			Enrollment	Revenue	Total	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
				Per Pupil	Revenue	+\$275 Per Pupil	+\$280.5 Per Pupil	-5.5% Per Pupil	\$0 Per Pupil				
Pridemore	99	Erin	288	\$9,885	\$2,846,736	\$2,925,936.00	\$3,006,720.00	\$2,690,165.52	\$2,690,165.52	(\$235,770.48)	(\$316,554.48)	(\$156,570.48)	(\$156,570.48)
Pridemore	99	Friess Lake	219	\$10,457	\$2,290,096	\$2,350,321.00	\$2,411,750.50	\$2,164,140.72	\$2,164,140.72	(\$186,180.28)	(\$247,609.78)	(\$125,955.28)	(\$125,955.28)
Pridemore	99	Hamilton	4,388	\$10,729	\$47,077,193	\$48,283,893.00	\$49,514,727.00	\$44,487,947.39	\$44,487,947.39	(\$3,795,945.61)	(\$5,026,779.61)	(\$2,589,245.61)	(\$2,589,245.61)
Pridemore	99	Hartford J1	1,793	\$9,862	\$17,683,171	\$18,176,246.00	\$18,679,182.50	\$16,710,596.60	\$16,710,596.60	(\$1,465,649.41)	(\$1,968,585.91)	(\$972,574.40)	(\$972,574.40)
Pridemore	99	Hartford UHS	1,594	\$11,394	\$18,162,320	\$18,600,670.00	\$19,047,787.00	\$17,163,392.40	\$17,163,392.40	(\$1,437,277.60)	(\$1,884,394.60)	(\$998,927.60)	(\$998,927.60)
Pridemore	99	Merton Community	940	\$9,615	\$9,038,156	\$9,296,656.00	\$9,560,326.00	\$8,541,057.42	\$8,541,057.42	(\$755,598.58)	(\$1,019,268.58)	(\$497,098.58)	(\$497,098.58)
Pridemore	99	North Lake	344	\$10,120	\$3,481,321	\$3,575,921.00	\$3,672,413.00	\$3,289,848.35	\$3,289,848.35	(\$286,072.66)	(\$382,564.66)	(\$191,472.66)	(\$191,472.66)
Pridemore	99	Richfield J1	443	\$10,135	\$4,489,783	\$4,611,608.00	\$4,735,869.50	\$4,242,844.94	\$4,242,844.94	(\$368,763.07)	(\$493,024.57)	(\$246,938.07)	(\$246,938.07)
Pridemore	99	Stone Bank	298	\$11,198	\$3,336,930	\$3,418,880.00	\$3,502,469.00	\$3,153,398.85	\$3,153,398.85	(\$265,481.15)	(\$349,070.15)	(\$183,531.15)	(\$183,531.15)
Pridemore	99	Swallow	564	\$10,737	\$6,055,764	\$6,210,864.00	\$6,369,066.00	\$5,722,696.98	\$5,722,696.98	(\$488,167.02)	(\$646,369.02)	(\$333,067.02)	(\$333,067.02)
Pridemore Total										(\$9,284,905.85)	(\$12,334,221.35)	(\$6,295,380.85)	(\$6,295,380.85)